# OPUS<sub>2</sub>

MBR Acres Limited & Others v Free the MBR Beagles & Others

Day 14

May 18, 2023

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: 020 4518 8448 Email: transcripts@opus2.com Website: https://www.opus2.com

1	Thursday, 18 May 2023
2	(10.30 am)
3	Submissions by MS BOLTON (continued)
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes, Ms Bolton.
5	MS BOLTON: My Lord, first of all, you will recall that
6	yesterday we looked at an incident on 2 July, when
7	Mr Manning's vehicle was surrounded and there seemed to
8	be a lot of police.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's right.
10	MS BOLTON: That was actually covered in the 15th witness
11	statement of Susan Pressick in detail . I've got the
12	relevant extract of the statement, my Lord, but in
13	a nutshell, that was the day where there was an attempt
14	to take down the fence. There was quite a large protest
15	and $$
16	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes, I remember.
17	MS BOLTON: You extended $$ you strengthened the trespass
18	part of the injunction following that.
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
20	MS BOLTON: It's also, as I understand it, when Mr Broughton
21	was arrested because what had happened was there had
22	been online incitement for people to continue the
23	break—ins that had happened from Animal Rebellion.
24	I can hand up the relevant part.
25	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's all right, you've reminded me
	1
1	now. Thank you. Now I know where it is.
2	MS BOLTON: My Lord, in which case, we were up to the
3	incident of 18 and 19 July.
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
5	MS BOLTON: That's a harassment incident and that concerns
6	posters near Employee F's house, which you will find
7	at $$ it's page 1501 in the persons unknown bundle. We
, 8	have redacted the name $$
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I've looked at that. That's all right.
10	MS BOLTON: And so, my Lord, I appreciate that any
11	harassment has to be tied to somebody protesting at the
12	site. When I get to closing submissions, one of the
13	submissions I will be making on that is, of course,
14	those that we have identified so far have been
15	protesters who have been involved in these types of
16	activities but we accent we don't know who nosted this

15	protesters who have been involved in these types of
16	activities but we accept we don't know who posted this.
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
18	MS BOLTON: My Lord, the next one is an obstruction of the
19	highway incident on 19 July. It's dealt with at

- 20 paragraph 290 of Susan Pressick's statement and it's
- 21 video 996, and this concerns preventing a lorry
- accessing the site. It's quite a short video. If we 2.2
- 23 could look at video 996, please. (video played).
- 24 Thank you. My Lord, so again it demonstrates it
- 25 doesn't require that many people to even be on the

1 access road to cause an obstruction. That vehicle 2 couldn't get in at all. It had to abandon its attempt 3 to get in. That's not even a dog van or a staff member 4 there. That's simply somebody trying to access as 5 a third party contractor and, as you can see, it's, one, 6 had an impact on the traffic and it's, two, meant that 7 the lorry drivers had to abort their attempt to get into 8 the site. 9 My Lord, the next one is a further obstruction on 10 the highway that involves surrounding Mr Manning's car 11 and other staff cars on 2 August. It's an obstruction 12 of the highway, it's interfering with the common law 13 right to access the highway because you will also see 14 staff cars trying to come out and it's an access land 15 trespass and harassment parasitic on the other causes of 16 action. 17 If we could go to video 695, please. (video 18 played). 19 Pausing there, the vehicle on the road still is 20 Mr Manning's vehicle, my Lord. If we could play on, 21 please. (video played). 22 Could we pause a second, please. I'm not sure 23 Mr Curtin is on mute, my Lord. 2.4 MR CURTIN: I'm sorry. MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right. 25 3 1 MS BOLTON: Okay, go on. (video played). 2 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Do we know whose the vehicle that last 3 to enter the site was, that vehicle? 4 MS BOLTON: That was Mr Manning, the one that was held up on 5 the road, my Lord, yes, that's Mr Manning's vehicle. 6 And pausing there, my Lord, one of the voices that 7 you can hear, we believe, is Lauren Gardner, whom we did 8 manage to identify and bring into the proceedings 9 because of another incident that occurred involving her 10 but, yes, again this is an incident involving unknowns, 11 and you will see it's again causing an obstruction on 12 the highway, it's interfering with the access of staff 13 coming out and the trespass and obviously it's targeting 14 the staff again. MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mr Manning appeared to have his window 15 16 open.

- 17 MS BOLTON: My Lord?
- 18 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mr Manning appeared to have his window 19 open
- 20 MS BOLTON: I'm not sure at what point he opened his window.
- 21 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I think his window is open. The
- 2.2 vehicle appears to have both windows open when he drives
- 23 in and then you can see that he speaks to somebody
- 24 without the window being opened. So it looks like it is 25
  - open already.

1	MS BOLTON: Yes, and obviously he has spoken to security as
2	well .
3	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
4	MS BOLTON: Because I think what's happened is they have
5	decided to let the other staff out first . That looks
6	like what happened. But obviously, both the people
7	coming in and the people going out were held up by what
8	was happening.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
10	MS BOLTON: My Lord, the next one is a further trespass
11	incident, four persons unknown, who entered the driveway
12	and glued themselves to the gate, and police were
13	required to debond the persons unknown.
14	My Lord, one of these people's name is now known but
15	wasn't known until a few weeks before trial started, so
16	unfortunately, we haven't been able to join them to the
17	proceedings.
18	Obviously, this is obstruction of the highway and
19	trespass incident. I would like to look at video 925,
20	please, and at 20 minutes, 43 seconds, please. (video
21	played).
22	Then this goes on for some time, my Lord, and if we
23	could go to one hour, seven minutes and 22 seconds,
24	there is two further female persons unknown, who entered
25	the driveway. (video played).

5

1	And then, if we could then, please, go to one hour,
2	22 minutes and 49 seconds, we see a fourth person
3	unknown enter the driveway and glue themself to the
4	gate. (video played).
5	And then one hour and 37 minutes and 23 seconds. We
6	see further persons unknown enter the driveway after
7	encouragement from the lady in the wheelchair. (video
8	played).
9	And then if we can go to two hours, 34 minutes and
10	30 seconds, please, we see when the police arrive.
11	(video played).
12	So, pausing there, so, my Lord, dealing first of
13	all , obviously there is a significant trespass incident
14	and obviously those gates cannot be opened, no one can
15	leave or enter at the moment, and then if we could look
16	at video 1017, please, we can see the obstruction.
17	(video played).
18	Pausing there, the lady with the pink hair is
19	Ms Asplin, my Lord. Play on, please. (video played).
20	My Lord, there is a lady who has a yellow jacket on
21	that it's just panned past, and you would have seen
22	earlier on she had a beagle, and that appears to be the
23	same person we saw yesterday, stepping out in front of
24	a car with a dog, but again we don't know who she is.
25	We can play on, please. (video played).

6

1	Thank you. Just pausing there, you have just seen
2	Pauline Hodson go past on the camera there as well.
3	Play on, please. (video played).
4	Then if we could go to five minutes, please. (video
5	played).
6	Thank you. My Lord, so, as you can see, this
7	developed into quite a significant incident. My Lord,
8	the next incident is 27 September 2022. This is one of
9	the handwritten letters sent to the addresses of
10	Mr Manning, Employee Q, Employee L and Employee P and
11	you will find that at page 891 to 896. Which is:
12	"Puppy killer. Don't go to work or we will tell
13	your neighbours."
14	That appears to be the same letter written in the
15	same $$ I believe it's a crayon. It would appear it may
16	very well be the same person.
17	My Lord, the next one is 28 September 2022. Again
18	it 's harassment matter.
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On the letters, it's not known who sent
20	those?
21	MS BOLTON: No, my Lord, we know that funeral plans were
22	sent by Ms Jaffray and we know that graffiti was done by
23	Ms Midwinter and we know that both of them had protested
24	at the site, and obviously, when it comes to closing,

at the site, and obviously, when it comes to closing, I will be asking your Lordship to draw inferences from

7

1	that. But obviously, the harassment is anchored to
2	those protesting at the site . So unless we can identify
3	the person and demonstrate that they have been
4	protesting at the site, we accept that, you know, the
5	injunction wouldn't be enforceable against them.
6	The next one is again a harassment matter, on
7	28 September, which is the overnight graffiti of
8	Employee Q's car and smashed windscreen and that's at
9	the persons unknown bundle, pages 888 to 890. We say
10	again this is consistent with the protesters' campaign
11	to target the staff.
12	The next one is an incident that does happen $$
13	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: When you say protestors, you mean the
14	particular protesters who have done these acts?
15	MS BOLTON: Indeed, the persons unknown category and those
16	who we have identified and have pleaded the harassment
17	cause of action against, that it's consistent with that
18	campaign for the staff to have been targeted. The
19	protest message is the same. As I say, the two that we
20	have identified, who have targeted staff in their homes,
21	have been part of those protests and so again we
22	appreciate we have got to identify them and prove that
23	before anything can be done about it but we say again
24	it's more evidence of targeting the staff as part of
25	this campaign.

1	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It's evidence which shows that some
2	people are prepared to break the law.
3	MS BOLTON: Yes.
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: And that the inference is that their
5	willingness to break the law is because they want to
6	protest about the employees working for MBR Acres.
7	MS BOLTON: Yes, my Lord.
8	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.
9	MS BOLTON: My Lord, the next one is a video on
10	30 September 2022 and this concerns targeting of
11	Employee A's car at the Wyton site, where an object is
12	thrown at Employee A's car and the windscreen is
13	smashed. It happens after Employee A pulls out of the
14	Wyton site on to the main carriageway. I'm going to
15	take you to just after two minutes and 19 seconds. The
16	person who throws the object that causes the damage is
17	on the left grass verge at two minutes and 30 but if we
18	can start at two minutes and 19 because it's initially
19	easy to get the wrong person in this video that's
20	throwing the object.
21	So if we could look from two minutes and 19 seconds,
22	please. Sorry, it's video 836 for the transcript.
23	Apologies. (video played).
24	If we can stop there and if we can go back, please.
25	Again between $$ just there. Sorry. It's 2:30, if we

veen — Just there. Sorry. It's 2.30

9

1	can start at 2:19. This person appears to put their arm
2	out but it's not this person who causes the incident,
3	and if we can play on to 2:30, please. Keep going,
4	please. And it's there, that person $$ just rewind back
5	a little bit, please. Pause there. So it's this person
6	here that throws the item. Just play on a little bit
7	more, please $$ sorry, a little bit back. I'm just
8	trying to catch with the arm out. Just there.
9	My Lord, the exhibit is exhibit $$
10	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: What tort is that?
11	MS BOLTON: My Lord?
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: What tort is that?
13	MS BOLTON: My Lord, well, it's harassment, and the exhibit
14	is at 1285 and that's the damage to the windscreen at
15	1285 to 1289 in the persons unknown bundle. Probably
16	1287 and 1288 onwards show the damage the most.
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Why can't we see that damage in the
18	video?
19	MS BOLTON: It's because it hits just above where the dash
20	cam is, my Lord.
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: But that's extensive $$ it's caused
22	extensive fracturing by the looks of it .
23	MS BOLTON: My Lord, if you look $$ if you look at 1287, you
24	can see where it hits, and 1285 is quite high and you
25	can see $$ there is the rearview mirror on 1285.

10

1	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right, okay.
2	MS BOLTON: And then if you look at $12$ we think, my Lord,
3	on 1288 that the $$ we think that the blue item is the
4	dash cam, so it's above $$ it's missed the dash cam
5	catching it .
6	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right. Reported to the police?
7	MS BOLTON: My Lord, I believe it was, and I believe $$
8	I believe that $$ you can see the incident, my Lord, but
9	the woman claimed that the bracelet fell off her arm and
10	hit the windscreen. The police decided they didn't have
11	enough evidence to take it further forwards than that.
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Did they identify the woman? They must
13	have done.
14	MS BOLTON: No, they have not given us the name because they
15	haven't taken it any further.
16	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: But the police identified who it was?
17	MS BOLTON: Yes.
18	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.
19	MS BOLTON: My Lord, so they are the example incidents.
20	There are more $$
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Can you just talk to me about why you
22	have not brought a third party disclosure application to
23	get the police to provide you with the names of the
24	people, in short terms.
25	MS BOLTON: My Lord, I think that, quite often, where there
	11
	11
1	has been action taken, the police have given us names
2	and that has helped us, but they haven't on each
3	occasion, so $$
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's not an answer to the question.
5	Why have you not made a third party disclosure
6	application to get the police to provide you with the
7	names $$ for example, this is a very good example.
8	MS BOLTON: My Lord, probably because this is an example of
9	something which happened on 30 September last year.

- 10 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Okay, it's now 15 May.
- 11 MS BOLTON: My Lord, it is 15 May but from the point of view 12 of amending a particulars of claim, first of all you've
- 13 got to get the application, two, you've got to get the
- 14 information, then you've got to plead the case against
- 15 that individual.

25

- 16 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I raised the question of obtaining
- 17 information from the police in at least one hearing at
- 18 an interim stage in these proceedings.
- 21 been arrests, we have been able to identify. Where the
- 22 police have got information, quite often we have named
- 23 people. We have also used enquiry agents to name people
- 24 up to when we applied to adjoin Ms Gardner and Ms Boyle.
  - But we haven't been able to identify everybody and we

haven't $$ and this is an incident that's happened quite
late in the day, where the police haven't provided that
information, so a lot of the time they either don't have
it or we have managed to identify the person ourselves,
so this is probably one of the few times where that
could have been done, and that is very late in the day,
when we are amending a pleading.
MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Presumably there were a number of
arrests out of the glueing to the gate incident, weren't
there?
MS BOLTON: Yes, and as I said $$ well, no, I think there
was one arrest.
MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.
MS BOLTON: Is that correct? Just the lady in the
wheelchair, and we got her name from the police two
weeks before this hearing started.
MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Okay.
MS BOLTON: So again $$
MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: If you had issued a part 31
application, part 31.17 application
MS BOLTON: My Lord, I don't think we would have got the
information any quicker.
MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Arguably $$ one inference which is
capable of being drawn is that the claimants are not
really interested in identifying individuals because

1	they are much more interested in obtaining a persons
2	unknown injunction.
3	MS BOLTON: My Lord, that's not consistent with the many
4	times the claimant has amended the claim, which is $$
5	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You've amended the claim to introduce
6	a handful of people.
7	MS BOLTON: My Lord, those that we can identify. There
8	isn't a large number of people that the police could
9	provide us with information for for the incidents that
10	we are pleading. Secondly $$
11	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: All of the people who broke in and are
12	currently pending criminal prosecution, for example, all
13	of them, they could have been joined to these
14	proceedings.
15	MS BOLTON: My Lord, no, we have explained why we haven't
16	interfered with those proceedings. And I think the
17	police would probably, quite understandably, not want us
18	to interfere with those proceedings.
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's about contempt proceedings. I'm
20	talking about civil proceedings. I'm talking about
21	making them defendants of these civil proceedings.
22	MS BOLTON: My Lord, I would make a number of points. We
23	brought the application last year, after the summer, to
24	join the previous group of defendants. We got
25	permission to do that, ${\sf I}$ believe , in about February this

1	year, so we weren't really in a position to bring more
2	applications to join more people anyway because there
3	simply hasn't been the time running up to this trial for
4	them to be joined applications to be heard and then to
5	be dealt with. It's one of the more challenging
6	aspects, again of the part 7, rather than the part 8
7	procedure because more has to be done and they have to
8	have time to file a defence from being joined.
9	So it's not that easy, this close to trial, to be
10	joining more people in these proceedings because they
11	then have to have time to file a defence. We then have
12	to file witness statements and videos. They then have
13	to have time to deal with that, and those last set were
14	from last year but they weren't dealt with until this
15	year, so if we had brought more, they would have had to
16	have been dealt with $$
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So at the end of this trial, after I've
18	dealt with this trial , you could potentially bring
19	claims against these people?
20	MS BOLTON: My Lord, yes. But there is not $$ at the end of
21	the day $$
22	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: And the whole process would start up
23	again.
24	MS BOLTON: But this is the point that Lord Justice Coulson
25	is making in the recent Cuciurean, that you will get to
	15
	10

1	a stage where you are not naming every last person and
2	that that's not required and that's the $$ again
3	that's ——
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Why should I not require you to name
5	the people you can name?
6	MS BOLTON: Because they can't be served, file a defence $$
7	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It's going to be easy related to people
8	that the police have arrested because they will have
9	their addresses for service.
10	MS BOLTON: That's the kitchen sink pleading approach that
11	the Court of Appeal have recently criticised and said
12	that isn't required for this very reason, that there has
13	to be a stop point $$
14	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That depends whether you think that
15	civil proceedings like this ought to be $$ the courts
16	ought to deal with them.
17	MS BOLTON: At that point they are caught by the persons
18	unknown order but, my Lord, the people we have been able
19	to identify before $$ we have amended this claim several
20	times and it's a long process, that takes a significant
21	amount of time to get us to the point where we have got
22	permission, we can serve them with a claim, they can
23	file a defence and then the trial directions $$
24	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So is the answer to the question
25	I asked about why you have not made a part 31.17

1	application is because you decided not to?
2	MS BOLTON: My Lord, in relation to the person in the
3	wheelchair ——
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: No, no, I'm dealing with this person $$
5	let's just deal with this concrete example on
6	30 September.
7	MS BOLTON: The 30 September, my Lord, was reported to the
8	police. That then took time for it to come back to the
9	claimant that nothing was happening and that we weren't
10	getting a name and, if we had then made an application,
11	we would have been looking at making an application at
12	the back end of last year.
13	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mm-hm.
14	MS BOLTON: That would have then required again that
15	person $$ for permission to be obtained, for a defence
16	to be filed and for the witness $$
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: If they defended the proceedings.
18	MS BOLTON: Indeed. We have to take a point where it's not
19	proportionate to keep doing that and keep making those
20	applications .
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So the answer is you decided not to?
22	MS BOLTON: On that occasion, yes.
22	

- 23 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right. But you haven't made a single
- 24 part 31.17 application in the entire proceedings. 25
  - MS BOLTON: We haven't really needed to in these

### 17

1	proceedings.
2	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.
3	MS BOLTON: Because most of the time we have had the
4	assistance we have needed to identify those that we can
5	bring evidence against, that we have got evidence
6	against, that the police know about. A lot of the
7	people that we are seeing a lot of these videos have not
8	been arrested by the police.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes. I get that. That's a different
10	point because I don't expect you to make part 31
11	applications when there is no person you can serve with
12	the part 31 application.
13	MS BOLTON: No, and most of those that we have joined, my
14	Lord, fall into that category and that has been with the
15	work of enquiry agents and quite a lot of work has been
16	done to identify them. Some of them have come from the
17	police but most of them haven't. Most of them have come
18	from the claimant using enquiry agents to identify those
19	people, and some of them, you will have seen when we
20	have identified them, we have had incidents for some
21	time but it has taken quite a long time to find them.
22	And that's one of the challenges.
23	But the only ones where you could say, well, why
24	haven't you dealt with it by that application for
25	disclosure from the police, that would have been the

18

1 lady that we saw in the wheelchair, for instance, or 2 this last harassment incident. 3 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Or the break-in or the man who stands 4 in the road, who is standing next to his vehicle with his registration plate visible. 5 MS BOLTON: I don't think we can get that information for 6 7 that reason. 8 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Sorry? You can make the DVLA the 9 subject of a 31.17 application. 10 MR CURTIN: My Lord --11 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Wait a minute, Mr Curtin. 12 MS BOLTON: I guess we could, my Lord, but I think that 13 again --14 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You chose not to. 15 MS BOLTON: -- that would be quite significant to do so. MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes. You see, the point is this, which 16 17 is you will want to litigate against a large number of people. Some of those people have to be grouped under 18 19 persons unknown because it's not possible to identify 20 them. There are different ways, so there are a category 21 of people who there is, as it were, information that is 2.2 capable of identifying them because, for example, the 23 lady in the wheelchair or the lady throwing the item 24 towards the car, those people have been arrested. The

# police could have been made the subject of a 31.17 19

1	application to provide the name of the person and their
2	address for service.
3	There is a next category, people who can be
4	described by what they do. So there are some people
5	whose prominence in the video evidence is such that they
6	could be described with certainty as to who they are.
7	My recollection is you've done that twice in relation to
8	adding defendants by that description. It's a point
9	I made right at the start of the litigation , that, as
10	indicated in Canada Goose, where possible, you should
11	identify the person by description, so the court, if
12	necessary, can make findings of fact against that person
13	and make an order against that person. Whether it's
14	possible subsequently to serve them or enforce the order
15	the court makes against them is a separate matter.
16	MS BOLTON: Under that circumstance we have to have an
17	alternative method of service, and we did apply for that
18	on those we described that way, at the beginning, and
19	the court refused it , and one of them we were able to
20	subsequently find, with the assistance of an enquiry
21	agent and with quite a lot of hard work, and serve as an
22	identifiable but we haven't been able to pursue those
23	that we could serve by description because the court
24	wasn't permitting us to serve them in the same way as
25	persons unknown, so we couldn't do it. That turned out

1	to be an exercise that got us nowhere because we can
2	identify them but we can't serve them. We can only
3	serve them if the court gives us the alternative service
4	order, which was refused.
5	So we were in real difficulties on that.
6	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So you get the perverse position, which
7	is, in relation to people that we have no idea about,
8	they are in a better position $vis -a - vis$ service than the
9	people we can actually point at.
10	MS BOLTON: My Lord, that was the court's decision $$
11	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It's not my decision.
12	MS BOLTON: My Lord, as I say, the position has been made
13	clear by the Court of Appeal recently that it doesn't
14	require an unending level of amendments, that there
15	becomes a point when they will be caught by the category
16	of persons unknown. We have fairly, where we can,
17	identified the people we can in this case. There are
18	two incidents $$
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I'll tell you now that I don't think
20	you have, and my current position is, so that you can
21	address it in final submissions, that you have chosen,
22	in important respects, not to identify people because
23	you judge that the benefit of doing that to you is
24	limited and you are more interested in obtaining the
25	persons unknown injunction.

1	MS BOLTON: My Lord, that doesn't follow because there is
2	large numbers of persons unknown. It would make no
3	difference , if we could name them, we would name them $$
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: And that's positively against the
5	guidance in Canada Goose. Where you are able to
6	identify people, they should being joined.
7	MS BOLTON: But the Court of Appeal have clarified that
8	there have been some misunderstandings on the Court of
9	Appeal's decision in Canada Goose in the recent
10	Cuciurean decision by the judge himself who gave that
11	judgment and he has made it clear that that leads to
12	a kitchen sink pleading and that they are not
13	encouraging that approach. That was the very point
14	taken against the claimants in the Cuciurean case and
15	the Court of Appeal didn't accept it. So we are not
16	trying to not identify people but there has to be
17	a limit and it has to be proportionate and $$
18	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Proportionate to who? In relation to
19	this person, who is the lady in the wheelchair, the lady
20	by the road side, who you want to make subject to
21	a persons unknown injunction, he or she $$ well, both
22	shes in those examples, have not been given an
23	opportunity to come to court and make any submissions in
24	their own defence and you want to obtain an order
25	against them. Is that right?

1 MS BOLTON: My Lord, we do want to obtain an order that 2 binds them, yes. MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes. So you have deliberately 3 abstained from putting before the court the material 4 that would enable the court to identify them, have them 5 6 served, let them have the opportunity, if they want to, 7 to come and defend themselves, in favour of making an 8 order against them without hearing from them. 9 MS BOLTON: My Lord, I make the point that, if either of the 10 defendants that we have brought applications to join last autumn had, when that permission was granted 11 in February, wanted to defend these proceedings, it 12 13 would have thrown the whole court timetable. If we had 14 made more applications, it would have thrown --15 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It might have meant I had to put 16 chapter 2 into this litigation . 17 MS BOLTON: My Lord, that's the point. At some point, with 18 a part 7 claim in particular -- and this is again 19 something which, in the cases where this was being 20 challenged, there has been more flexibility to join 21 because they were part 8. So that makes it much easier 2.2 to join people at a later stage. 23 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It doesn't seem to me that it's much 24 fairer . 25 MS BOLTON: My Lord, again --23

1	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Are you suggesting that the part 8
2	procedure allows a sort of free and easy regime, where
3	you can add people at the last five minutes and say the
4	trial is next Friday?
5	MS BOLTON: It requires less steps before trial, which has
6	made it easier for parties who are on the part 8
7	procedure to include more people late because it doesn't
8	jeopardise the trial timetable. That person can still
9	file witness evidence. That person can still make
10	representations but they are not in a position where it
11	jeopardises the trial timetable, and what the court is
12	saying here is we should have joined these people to
13	these proceedings as they stand, and it seems that the
14	only way the court can do that, as your Lordship is
15	acknowledging, is chapter 2. That just becomes unending
16	litigation .
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mm-hm.
18	MS BOLTON: That's not what's being encouraged by the Court
19	of Appeal. With respect, that, to me, seems $$
20	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So if you are in the second category $$
21	if you are in the second wave of protesters, you get
22	a sort of, I'm sorry, you've missed your chance to have
23	any participation in a trial .
24	MS BOLTON: No, they don't miss their chance. If the lady
25	in the wheelchair doesn't want to be bound by this, she

22

1	has the ability to make an application to be heard.
2	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: What happens then?
3	MS BOLTON: At that point, she can be heard and the court
4	can decide whether she should be named and whether she
5	should be party to the proceedings or whether the
6	injunction ought to be varied.
7	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: For what?
8	MS BOLTON: That depends on what her application is, my
9	Lord. But she has the right to be heard and have her
10	position $$ she will then be identified.
11	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So she can come along and say, "I want
12	to be excluded from this persons unknown injunction."
13	MS BOLTON: She can come along and say I want to be heard.
14	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: To what purpose? Just tell me what you
15	imagine she would be doing.
16	MS BOLTON: That depends, exactly. That depends on exactly
17	what her application is .
18	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Okay.
19	MS BOLTON: Her application may well be that she doesn't
20	want to be bound or she thinks it's wrong that there
21	should be prohibition on trespass. There could be all
22	sorts of reasons why she says she shouldn't be bound by
23	an injunction.
24	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Okay, let's go back to the lady with
25	the bracelet or the lady with the stone, whatever she is
	25
1	throwing. You say she is guilty of harassment.
2	MS BOLTON: Yes.
2 3	MS BOLTON: Yes. MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that
2 3 4	MS BOLTON: Yes. MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?
2 3 4 5	MS BOLTON: Yes. MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct? MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li><li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li><li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the</li> </ul>
2 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an individual.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an individual.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an individual.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.</li> <li>MS BOLTON: It would be harassment it is harassment by</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an individual.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.</li> <li>MS BOLTON: It would be harassment it is harassment by persons unknown but it is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an individual.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.</li> <li>MS BOLTON: It would be harassment it is harassment by persons unknown but it is</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So she comes along and says, "I've read</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it 's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an individual.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.</li> <li>MS BOLTON: It would be harassment it is harassment by persons unknown but it is</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So she comes along and says, "I've read this judgment of Mr Justice Nicklin and he has granted</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MS BOLTON: Yes.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You need to establish against her that it's a course of conduct?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: No, because a course of conduct against the staff employees can be by more than one person. We don't have to show that she is particularly the course of conduct is to harassment of the staff. That can be a course of conduct that involves more than one person.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On which side of this? More than one person harassed or more than one person doing the harassment?</li> <li>MS BOLTON: Your Lordship is right. In the case of yes, if she was to come along and if she was to say that that's the only time she has taken part in any harassment of the staff and there were no further incidents, it wouldn't be harassment against her as an individual.</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.</li> <li>MS BOLTON: It would be harassment it is harassment by persons unknown but it is</li> <li>MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So she comes along and says, "I've read</li> </ul>

1	of this $$ this is the first time I've ever heard about
2	these proceedings and I object to being subject as
3	a person unknown to this injunction because I'm not
4	guilty of harassment. Number 1, I didn't throw, it was
5	my bracelet came off my hand inadvertently. In any
6	event, that was the only day $I$ was present and $I$ want to
7	be excluded from this injunction."
8	MS BOLTON: My Lord, yes.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So I would say $$ what would I do?
10	Vary the injunction and say all persons unknown except
11	for Mrs Joan Brown.
12	MS BOLTON: No, my Lord, you would probably $$ because
13	that's the only thing that is being alleged against that
14	person, you would probably name her in the proceedings
15	and you would probably make no order against her.
16	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right. So presuming that you oppose
17	that and said, "No, no, she did deliberately throw
18	a stone at the car and we say that she has been guilty
19	of more acts of trespass, so we want to prove those
20	facts." So I have to have another trial on that issue?
21	MS BOLTON: No $$ well, yes, my Lord, you have to hear her
22	application .
23	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: But I have to have evidence, don't I?
24	MS BOLTON: Yes.
25	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I have to have oral evidence.
	27
1	MS BOLTON: Yes.
2	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So I have to have another trial.
3	MS BOLTON: Well, no, she could make an application to be
4	heard and it doesn't have to be a trial . The court has
5	quite a bit of flexibility on how it deals with that
6	application .
7	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: But you just accepted we were having

7	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN:	But you just accepted we were having
8	oral evidence.	

- 9 MS BOLTON: Well, yes, you could do.
- 10 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: On an application?
- 11 MS BOLTON: Yes.
- 12 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: And then ultimately at the end of it 13  ${\sf I}\,$  say, what, join her to the proceedings and then
- 14 dismiss the claim against her?
- 15 MS BOLTON: If she wants to be heard at that point, she can
- 16 apply to join the proceedings and you can dismiss the 17 claim against her.
- MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right. 18
- $\label{eq:masses} \mathsf{MS}\ \mathsf{BOLTON} \colon\ \mathsf{Or}\ \mathsf{you}\ \mathsf{can}\ \mathsf{make}\ \mathsf{an}\ \mathsf{order}\ \mathsf{that}\ \mathsf{she}\ \mathsf{is}\ \mathsf{named}\ \mathsf{on}$ 19
- 20 the claim and whatever parts of the claim you consider,
- 21 once she becomes a named defendant, apply to her, apply
- 22 to her. Or you can dismiss her application.
- 23 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mm-hm.
- 24 MS BOLTON: They are the three ways the court can approach 25
  - it.

1	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So then she escapes from the persons
2	unknown injunction but you said, earlier on in the
3	proceedings
4	MS BOLTON: In relation to harassment.
5	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right, well, she is not Gammelled at
6	this point.
7	MS BOLTON: No.
8	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Well, she might be because she might be
9	arguably Gammelled at the point when you say she's
10	guilty of harassment, then she becomes unGammelled
11	because she wasn't, so unless she does some other act
12	which gets her into a category of a person unknown, she
13	is not a defendant to the proceedings, she is not bound
14	by any of the injunction.
15	MS BOLTON: Yes, she has to do something more that would
16	bring her within it .
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.
18	MS BOLTON: But at that point, no, she wouldn't have any
19	order made against her.
20	But
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: And this all makes sense in civil
22	proceedings, does it?
23	MS BOLTON: My Lord, that's the approach that is currently
24	the endorsed approach by the Court of Appeal.
25	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: The Court of Appeal hasn't even begun

1	to grapple with issues like this. It hasn't even begun.
2	MS BOLTON: They have had applications challenging these
3	points in front of them and they've made those
4	decisions .
5	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: What other judge has actually conducted
6	a final trial on a case like this?
7	MS BOLTON: My Lord, that's not the point $$
8	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It is the point because actually, when
9	you get to trial, all of these issues start to come out
10	about who is going to be
11	MS BOLTON: I'm just being told that two weeks ago a
12	Transport for London persons unknown injunction was $$
13	final hearing was just handed down and they granted the
14	persons unknown orders, my Lord.
15	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mm-hm. Did they have any represented
16	defendants?
17	MS BOLTON: Two defendants represented themselves.
18	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: As defendants $$ named defendants of
19	the proceedings?
20	MS BOLTON: As named defendants to the proceedings, my Lord.
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right. And they gave evidence?
22	MS BOLTON: It looks like they did, my Lord, yes.
23	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Did the court grapple with the issue
24	that Mr Curtin raised, about whether, if I were to

# 25 decide he gets a different injunction from the persons

30

1	unknown $$ you were suggesting to me at some point
2	earlier in the trial it wouldn't make any difference
3	because he will be subject to the persons unknown
4	injunction anyway.
5	MS BOLTON: That's the point the Court of Appeal has
6	grappled with recently and, as I say, that is a point
7	for my closing because, at the moment, there is so much
8	up in the air about this. As it stands at the moment,
9	that is a point the Court of Appeal has dealt with but
10	whether that all changes, I don't know at this stage.
11	But I hear your Lordship, I'm quite happy to address it
12	but, at the moment, that's the point that has been made
13	because it was a defendant who had been named in one and
14	then he was caught on a persons unknown breach, even
15	though he had been dealt with as a named defendant
16	already.
17	So that is a point. That's the very point that the
18	Court of Appeal were grappling with. But, as I say,
19	this could all change by the time I make closing
20	submissions.
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I don't think it will change that
22	quickly, will it, unless you know something I don't.
23	MS BOLTON: I don't know anything at the moment. That's not
24	a hint that we have had an embargoed handdown or
25	anything like that. That has certainly not happened.

# 31

1	But it is all, at the moment, a little uncertain as to
2	what submissions I will be making on any of this.
3	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I mean, you can see how far away $$ in
4	terms $$ when you measure it against conventional,
5	two-party litigation, this is now drifting off into
6	some $$ what appears to be unmanageable proceedings.
7	MS BOLTON: My Lord, with respect, the alternative provides
8	an unworkable solution that doesn't remedy the wrongs
9	being committed, and I think that is why the courts have
10	taken the line that they have taken. Otherwise,
11	claimants are left with unworkable solutions.
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes, one answer potentially is that you
13	can't fix all of the problems in the world with civil
14	proceedings.
15	MS BOLTON: No, my Lord, but where there are rights of
16	a claimant and there are wrongs being committed, where
17	the court can give a remedy that will deal with that,
18	that is the approach that has been taken.
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's where the Court of Appeal went
20	wrong in Cameron because they thought that a wrong had
21	taken place and the civil proceedings could put it
22	right, and it took the Supreme Court to say, "No, you
23	can't serve the person, so you can't actually have
24	a remedy."
25	MS BOLTON: As the Court of Appeal have subsequently

1	clarified , there is a very different category of person
2	unknown and that's the difference here. These are
3	persons unknown groups who are capable of being
4	communicated with.
5	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Really?
6	MS BOLTON: That lady who is standing by the gate is
7	encouraging people to not have fear.
8	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
9	MS BOLTON: It would be inconceivable, considering where she
10	comes from across the road and what she is saying, that
11	she didn't ——
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: She is an example of a person that it
13	would be perfectly easy to communicate with because all
14	you need to do is make an application for a 31.17 order
15	against the police. We can have her name and address
16	and we can communicate with her directly.
17	MS BOLTON: It's inconceivable that she didn't know about
18	the injunction anyway.
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's speculation on your part.
20	MS BOLTON: No, and she had been served as a person $$
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Neither you nor I know what that woman
22	knows about.
23	MS BOLTON: The lengths she was going to encourage people
24	not to be scared and to cross over the road and stand on
25	the claimant's land, my Lord, and that nothing would
	33
	33
1	happen to them, indicated she knew very well what was
2	happening. There were other seasoned protesters there
3	on the other side $$
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It doesn't matter anyway because, on

5	on the other side ——
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It doesn't matter anyway because, on
5	one version of the Court of Appeal's decision, that
6	doesn't matter.
7	MS BOLTON: My Lord, indeed, but that is an example of
8	somebody who probably knew full well $$
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's your submission. Right,
10	Mr Curtin, you wanted to say something?
11	MR CURTIN: Yes. If I may just make a couple of
12	submissions, all I can say is it's very refreshing to
13	hear this conversation because the nature of this
14	conversation is talked about amongst protesters and the
15	people who come to the gate and is one of my jobs to try
16	and explain
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I can't imagine how ordinary citizens
18	try to understand the persons unknown litigation.
19	I just don't understand how ordinary citizens can be
20	expected to understand what restrictions they are placed
21	under, under what circumstances, why it is that there
22	end up being $$ I just don't understand how anybody $$
23	any ordinary citizen can work out what they can and
24	can't do, but there we are.
25	MR CURTIN: And imagine adding to that by someone turning

1	up, who has some idea of the campaign, and they are
2	aware that, for example, people have broken into the
3	site and taken dogs, they are aware that there have been
4	a number of events, not organised by Camp Beagle, on
5	Facebook, "Come out and get the dogs," and people have
6	broke into the fence. They are not on the injunction,
7	so they said to me, "Would they put my name on the
8	injunction if I go over to the road," and I have to hold
9	my hands up and said, "I can't tell you", but if you
10	just bear with me for a couple of minutes, my Lord, you
11	used the term managerless, and it will be my submission
12	that right from $$ not right from the get $-$ go but soon
13	in, it became to me that this injunction is as
14	managerless as MBR Acres itself.
15	The named defendants are a time capsule between July
16	and mid–August 2021. There has only been three people
17	added since then. One was Victoria Glover, who was
18	petrified when she was added on the basis of, yes, she
19	may have stepped over a line $$ she could not understand
20	why it appeared like she had been singled out when all
21	around her $$ and then there were two other people,
22	Louise Boyle and Laura Gardner, who did in fact $$ the
23	evidence would show that they made repeated blockages of
24	the gate, so they were in fact added, but

up, who has some idea of the campaign, and they are

- the gate, so they were in fact added, but
- 25 Caroline Bolton yesterday tried to mislead the court,  ${\sf I}$

### 35

1	think, yesterday in terms of Animal Rebellion, that they
2	sneaked in and they sneaked off. Nothing could be
3	further from the truth, and I spoke to Animal Rebellion
4	people yesterday, who were amazed and shocked that
5	no one could get hold of them.
6	It brings me back to the manager $$ this injunction,
7	I would say, is managerlessness. Caroline Bolton has
8	the difficult duty to try and present this but she $$
9	who is behind the steering wheel of this injunction? We
10	don't even know who is paying for it, and to not track
11	down the woman in the wheelchair $$ sorry, I'm
12	speaking $$ to not track down the woman in the
13	wheelchair, it's just $$ it shows you that no one is
14	really trying, because that woman is on social media
15	posts. She never tried to hide her identity. She went
16	to court and she was $$ that person was proud of what
17	she did. I as much as she cannot understand why she
18	hasn't joined the injunction, when Victoria Glover, who
19	maybe stepped across the line or maybe blocked one
20	vehicle $$ so I'd call it the Will Young factor, and
21	let's not snigger about Will Young but $$ and all I'm
22	saying is that I'm pleased that this conversation has
23	taken place. It's one that I have been dying to have
24	but because it would require me giving all sorts of
25	information and it looks like I want to provide the

36

24

25

1	court with information, in order for you to track them
2	down, but come on, use Will Young as an example. Why
3	was he never put into an injunction, and it brings this
4	injunction into disrepute when he wasn't brought into
5	it. But we all know why he wasn't brought into it, but
6	that's one, Will Young, but I don't know why the other
7	people haven't been named.
8	Caroline Bolton says, "Oh, we haven't had time."
9	That's not the case and that can't be true, and she
10	always comes up with that excuse. There is a man named
11	in this, who was part of the vicious attack on me and
12	the camp, who has been given a suspended sentence for
13	his attack on the camp. He is named as violently
14	threatening Mr Manning. Even he is not on this
15	injunction . I think his name's $$ and I will grass him

16	up. His name is Graham Neale, Graham Neale,
17	a despicable individual . Why the hell $$ take his
18	example but I don't like to hear his name discussed.
19	So this injunction, even though they have spent
20	millions and millions, it doesn't represent the
21	situation today. They are caught in a time vacuum of
22	last summer. Only three names have been added since
23	that time, and the naming procedure $$ and it's why so

- 24 many people got so nervous because they all felt --
- 25 people who were not even coming to the camp, hadn't been

# 37

to the camp for a year, until a month ago they were
still named individuals, when everyone knows that no one
else is getting added. So this injunction is already in
disrepute on the site itself because no one understands
it and I'm never able to give clear instructions .
MS BOLTON: My Lord
MR CURTIN: That's it.
MS BOLTON: $$ with respect to Mr Curtin, he is not a person
unknown.
MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You are saying that he's going to $$
I've yet to read the Cuciurean judgment that you've
referred to. If you are right about the most recent
Court of Appeal decision, he has got a direct interest
in the persons unknown injunction.
MS BOLTON: Yes, but $$
MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It's bizarre. First of all, he has to
come and defend himself against the proceedings and then
he has to defend himself against the persons unknown
injunction.
MS BOLTON: Your Lordship has raised a number of concerns
about persons unknown throughout these proceedings,
which led Mr Curtin to make some rather strong comments
the other day, and I would ask the court to take note of
the fact that clearly there is a little bit of saying to
the court what has been picked up and has been

38

2	those submissions, and the person being spoken about as
3	Victoria Glover is Victoria Asplin, who was also subject
4	to committal proceedings and was involved in a number of
5	incidents and is a good example of somebody who was
6	joined later, that took quite some time for us to
7	identify , and is yet another person that we can see in
8	earlier incidents but we couldn't identify for some
9	time. We could see her, but we have to find these
10	people, and that is not always easy, and sometimes you
11	have situations where, for instance on some of the
12	highways obstruction cases, where there are massive
13	amounts of arrests and we have no idea who any of those
14	people are and, yes, I understand the benefit of
15	a disclosure application in those types of cases, but
16	that isn't what's happened in this case, and the people
17	that we are targeting $$ Mr Curtin has just referred to
18	somebody who threatened David Manning. We are not aware
19	of that being the person that Mr Curtin has an issue
20	with of being assaulted by.
21	Secondly, that's not something, that incident on
22	camp, that we can intervene in because it's nothing to
23	do with the incidents we are complaining about, but it

challenged to us, rather than any actual evidence in

without anything concrete, being brought in now, by \$39\$

is -- there is a large amount of very broad evidence,

1	somebody who is a named defendant. And that's not fair
2	on the claimant and it's a little bit of carrying on the
3	coattails of $$ your Lordship is asking me questions,
4	which I need to address in closing, and then we are
5	being given information about an individual who attacked
6	Mr Curtin that we knew nothing about $$
7	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Leave aside that. Mr Curtin has
8	made $$ in what he said to me there are several points,
9	which are serious points, which are about people's
10	understanding of the injunction. I think we, as
11	lawyers, need to step back. I've gone back and looked
12	at the injunction order that currently applies to the
13	site and I think that what can be said about it is that,
14	to the best possible, it tries to explain what can and
15	cannot be done but I'm not sure it would win prizes for
16	being clear.
17	MS BOLTON: But, my Lord, that's again another evidence
18	example because $$ and there is a couple of points on
19	that.
20	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I think it's up to the court
21	ultimately. It's for me.
22	MS BOLTON: Absolutely.
23	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I'm going to have to find a way of
24	trying to strip away all of the $$ there are currently
25	three pages, before you get to the order, of various

1	people, some of whom categories of persons unknown have
2	been superseded. The court's primary responsibility ,
3	when making injunctions, is to make itself clear.
4	MS BOLTON: Yes.
5	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So that people understand what they can
6	and cannot do. And I'm going to have to take a look, if
7	I do grant a persons unknown injunction, at how I'm
8	going to frame that because this is the order which, if
9	the current Court of Appeal decision in Dagenham
10	remains, is going to be the order, which may be subject
11	to review but, unless reviewed, is going to be the order
12	that applies from now until the end of time. And so it
13	will bind people who have yet to be born, so I'm going
14	to have to make it clear in simple terms, which is we
15	may have to start stripping away the pretence and
16	saying, right, never mind about parties to the action,
17	I, the court, am prohibiting this activity . You must
18	not $$ nobody must do any of these acts.
19	MS BOLTON: My Lord, indeed, and those who are named in the
20	proceedings
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: The named proceedings (Overspeaking).
22	The named proceedings, I will be inclined to take
23	entirely separate courses. So if I grant an injunction
24	against Mr Curtin, he will have his own order, with his
25	name on it, which only applies to him, and it will

1	specify what he can and cannot do and it will include,
2	probably, unless you can persuade me otherwise, a thing
3	saying, "And the persons unknown order has nothing to do
4	with you. You are subject $$ you, Mr Curtin, are
5	subject to these restrictions ."
6	As to persons unknown, I will then look at what $$
7	and I will invite you, in your closing submissions, to
8	present to me the order which achieves clarity and
9	certainty about what you say. Let's bin the convoluted,
10	multiple page order and you set out, in terms that every
11	citizen is capable of understanding, what the court is
12	prohibiting .
13	MS BOLTON: My Lord, I'm grateful for that indication
14	because it certainly is the case that even just the
15	naming of the parties to the proceeding at the moment
16	takes several pages.
17	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: We can get rid of all of those people
18	now because they have fallen by the wayside, the claims
19	against them have been resolved. That's an end. Those
20	people are no longer of any relevance to what remains to
21	be determined in the proceedings.
22	Right, it's time for a break. Mr Curtin, we are
23	going to have our break but you can have a short moment
24	before we have our break.
25	MR CURTIN: It's just to add one more $$ seeing as this

42

1	to to set on the set of the mention of the last set of the
1	injunction is about this particular protest, let's not
2	make it too generic. One of the hallmarks, as we have
3	heard, of the Camp Beagle campaign, is it goes on all
4	the time. There is people there today, and it really is
5	a place where people drop into. If you wanted to attend
6	a demonstration, you have to look up, you have to travel
7	somewhere, especially to go to a demonstration. And
8	this term "protester", which I can't stand but I use it
9	sometimes, you know, but we are dealing with people like
10	I gave you an example of a woman who one minute I'm
11	speaking to her, the next minute she crossed the road
12	and when the cars come up, she threw her tea. She did
13	not know she was going to throw that tea. She had no
14	idea she was going to do it and she was overtaken by $$
15	so be careful when you say any person who shall be
16	a protester, that is another massive thing that's not
17	understood on the ground.
18	MS BOLTON: Again, my Lord, Mr Curtin cannot give that
19	evidence but I'm not $$ I wanted to clarify with
20	your Lordship what more you want me to cover.
21	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: If you are finished, that's $$
22	MS BOLTON: We have obviously got more incidents. I've
23	taken the incidents which are key. I will provide
24	your Lordship with the more detailed schedules which are
25	in the causes of action with $$ what we are adding in is
	0

# 43

1	making sure we have picked up every defendant. So you
2	will have that in good time before closing.
3	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Are these the best bits $$ are these
4	the highlights of the persons unknown?
5	MS BOLTON: These are the most serious incidents.
6	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right.
7	MS BOLTON: But there are quite a number more but you had
8	indicated you didn't want to see every video.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I don't want to see every video and
10	I really want to avoid having to watch every video.
11	MS BOLTON: Indeed.
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So I want you to be selective about $$
13	because there is a law of diminishing returns. You
14	have, I think, illustrated to me the key categories of
15	behaviour, which you say has been engaged in by persons
16	unknown. If you give me another example, which is less
17	serious, it's not going to take me much further.
18	MS BOLTON: No.
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I know it exists. I know that you've
20	got that evidence but, if you don't get home on the, as
21	it were, the top $$ the worst examples, it's not going
22	to be improved by repetition of a less serious $$
23	MS BOLTON: Indeed, and obviously we will provide $$
24	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Let me ask you about this point because
25	we are going to stop, so don't worry about the break.

1	One point that occurred to me about the harassment and	
2	about this is $$ one of the reasons why harassment is	
3	the most difficult is because, under the Act,	
4	a defendant can try and defend, so assuming the claimant	
5	proves that it meets the objective requirements of	
6	harassment and the court is satisfied the relevant	
7	defendant has pursued a course of conduct amounting to	
8	harassment, a defendant can then defend that and say it	
9	was nevertheless, in the circumstances, all the	
10	circumstances, reasonable.	1
11	So, for example, a protester who the court says,	1
12	actually, objectively judged, your conduct did, on this	1
13	occasion, cross the line between irritations and	1
14	annoyance and the court is satisfied that it did amount	1
15	to harassment. A defendant could nevertheless persuade	1
16	the court that that was exuberance on the part of the	1
17	relevant defendant and that, in all the circumstances,	1
18	because it was an exercise of a protest right, it was	1
19	reasonable in all the circumstances. And the court	1
20	would then, if that were $$ I'm just dealing with	2
21	a two—party example. The court might say, "Yes,	2
22	objective criteria for harassment has been met but the	2
23	defendant has demonstrated the defence under	2
24	subsection (4)," I think it is .	2
25	MS BOLTON: Yes.	2
	45	
	40	
1	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's a particular wrinkle in	
2	harassment. It's very little explored in the	
3	authorities .	
4	MS BOLTON: Yes.	
5	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: The difficulty with imposing	
6	a harassment injunction against persons unknown is I am	
7	shutting out in advance any defence, so the court is	
8	saying there can be no circumstances in which your	
9	behaviour would be reasonable. I can see that there	
10	might be, I test everything by reference to what would	1
11	happen in inter partes proceedings because, of course,	1
12	the court wouldn't be deterred from granting an	1
13	injunction to restrain an identified defendant from	1
14	pursuing, let's say, "You must not go within 50 yards of	1
15	this person's home, you must not contact them, you must	1
16	not follow them, you must not," whatever they have been	1
17	guilty of. So classic stalking, if you like.	1
18	MS BOLTON: Yes.	1
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: The court would, as part of the process	1
20	of deciding what is the correct remedy to grant, look at	2
21	the circumstances, and it might very well be very easy	2
22	on those facts to reach a conclusion that none of that	2
23	behaviour could ever be reasonable, so following	2
24	somebody from their workplace or things like that or	2

24 somebody from their workplace or things like that or 25

making unwanted telephone calls at two in the morning.

46

1	MS BOLTON: Yes.
2	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Never going to be reasonable and
3	that $$ I suppose the final safety valve is that, in the
4	event that the injunction was breached because the
5	person rang the person at two in the morning to tell
6	them that their house was on fire, the court is not
7	going to impose a penalty in those circumstances. So
8	there is that ultimate safeguard.
9	But what happens in a persons unknown injunction
10	that's going to have future effect on newcomers is I am
11	depriving every one of those people with their
12	opportunity to say, "Actually, my conduct is reasonable
13	and I shouldn't be the subject of an injunction." And
14	that's one of the problems that you will need to address
15	in the submissions about injunctions against persons
16	unknown to bind newcomers.
17	That's what sets it apart from what I'll call the
18	classic persons unknown, which is people I can point to,
19	perhaps on a video, and say, you, you and you, what you
20	were doing there, on these seven occasions that $I've$
21	watched videos, was harassment. I don't know who you
22	are but I'm going to grant an injunction against you
23	because I'm satisfied on the evidence that it's
24	a proportionate, necessary measure to protect the
25	interests of the claimant, et cetera, and ultimately

47

1	when, if ever, you are finally identified and you want
2	to come along and challenge this, you can make your
3	application to change the order. That's because the
4	court is making an assessment of actual evidence before
5	imposing the order. With a persons unknown, future
6	newcomers, all comers, I'm not doing that job, I'm
7	making a very $$
8	MS BOLTON: My Lord, indeed, and I accept that under that
9	category of persons unknown, prohibitions are likely to
10	be much more limited for that very reason. And I don't
11	shy away from that.
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right, okay. Two things. You know all
13	these things. None of what I have said during the last
14	two days will come as remotely a surprise to you as
15	things that concern me. In relation to your final
16	submissions, please provide to Mr Curtin and to me $$
17	for Mr Curtin, the order. Go back to scratch.
18	Obviously, you don't have a free hand, you can't start
19	changing but what you are doing is rendering it into
20	a Mr Curtin-specific order, which identifies clearly
21	what order you say the court should make against
22	Mr Curtin personally. And then equally, go back to the
23	drawing board with the persons unknown. I'm not saying
24	for a moment that I think contra mundum orders are
25	remotely justifiable . I continue to have the view

1	I expressed in Dagenham and the Court of Appeal doesn't
2	really deal with that. It just deals with the question
3	about whether final injunctions bind newcomers.
4	But it seems to me that the logical $$ there is an
5	objection to contra mundum because there is an important
6	stage that $$ in the inter partes model is that you have
7	to be Gammelled before you get into the game. So I'm
8	not actually making $-$ you are not asking for a contra
9	mundum order, you are asking for a persons unknown
10	order. You have to get yourself into the category. So
11	it doesn't actually bind the whole world, it potentially
12	might bind the whole world, depending on what individual
13	people do.
14	MS BOLTON: Yes.
15	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: There is a debate to be had whether
16	that's a semantic or real difference, ultimately.
17	MS BOLTON: Yes.
18	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: But your case at the moment is you are
19	not asking for a contra mundum order, you are asking for
20	a conventional, insofar as there is such a thing,
21	persons unknown injunction, which has to operate by
22	principle of the Gammell rule, which is you do an act by
23	which you then become a defendant to the proceedings and
24	bound by the injunction order.
25	MS BOLTON: Yes.
	49
	17
1	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: But we have got to find
2	a citizen—friendly way of explaining that.
3	MS BOLTON: Yes.
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So that you don't have to read three or
5	four Court of Appeal authorities and the latest musings
6	of any other judge who has to grapple with this issue to
7	be able to understand how it works, because I think
8	there are probably a handful of people in the country
9	who understand how persons unknown injunctions work.
10	I take my hat off to any citizen that can understand all
11	of these things, and so it's my job ultimately, and your
12	job to assist me, which is to render to me an order

12 job to assist me, which is to render to me an order 13 which I can credibly put forward and say, "Here, you 14 don't have to understand about -- you don't have to read 15 any Court of Appeal decisions about persons unknown injunctions, this order is telling you what you can and 16 17 can't do." 18 MS BOLTON: Yes, my Lord. May I just clarify, while, 19 obviously, the Mr Curtin order needs to be available for 20 next week. 21 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes. 22 MS BOLTON: I'm assuming I don't have to provide the persons 23 unknown order at this stage because we just don't know 24 what direction it's going in. 25 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's a good point. Why waste time on

50

1	that. Yes.
2	MS BOLTON: As soon as I have that $$
3	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: You are quite right, we will come back
4	and look at that when we know what the latest $$
5	MS BOLTON: I hear your Lordship. I do accept that that
6	sounds a very sensible way forwards, that we have
7	something which strips it down and explains very clearly
8	to people just what they need to know.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes. Right. So that's it for today
10	then, is it?
11	MS BOLTON: My Lord
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Good. You've all got lots of things to
13	do.
14	MS BOLTON: I'm grateful because my shoes are a little on
15	fire .
16	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Right. Okay, that's it for today,
17	Mr Curtin.

- MR CURTIN: Could I ask one question, please? 18
- 19 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
- 20 MR CURTIN: In the criminal sense, when you are dealing with
- 21 harassment, a course of action,  $\,I\,$  don't think  $\,$  it 's  $\,$
- 2.2 possible in criminal cases -- I may be wrong -- to
- 23 harass a group of people. But in these hearings it's as
- 24 if you sort of loosely talk about they are harassing 25
  - them. Whereas in a criminal case --

51

1	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: The short answer, Mr Curtin, is you
2	need to look at the expanded harassment offences. So
3	the Harassment Act essentially provides for two regimes.
4	The first is what you might call $$ and this was the
5	original harassment, which was a direct process of one
6	person is being harassed by another person. Of course,
7	it's possible for one person to harass, for example, two
8	people at the same time, so if you harassed a husband
9	and his or her wife, you might find that that was
10	a conventional harassment.
11	The Harassment Act was expanded subsequently to
12	cater for circumstances where the nature of the
13	harassment is slightly targeted in a different way, so
14	it's like harassing $$ classically, it's a company case
15	where you are trying to harass the company. A company
16	can't be harassed because it's not a human being but you
17	can have the same effect by harassing its staff, so if
18	you harass employee 1 on Monday, employee 2 on Tuesday
19	and employee 3 on Wednesday, you may end up causing
20	a course of conduct amounting to harassment because the
21	employees are regarded for these purposes as being
22	joined together, or certainly regarded as being grouped
23	together for the purposes of harassment. So there are
24	two different ways in which the harassment offence can
25	be committed, at least two. All right?

1	MR CURTIN: How old is that new collective harassment?
2	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I don't know.
3	MR CURTIN: Do you know?
4	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Not off the top of my head. I can look
5	at when $$ because it's the additional subsection to
6	when was it added, Ms Bolton?
7	MS BOLTON: I think it's the same time as the $$ when $$
8	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It's that package of measures.
9	MS BOLTON: It's when the additional measures to protect
10	political research $$ is it 2005? Yes, it's 2005 that
11	the Serious Organised Crime Act comes in, my Lord. So
12	it must have been around that time because I don't think
13	it was (inaudible).
14	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes. Mr Curtin, what changed was that
15	in 2005, or shortly thereafter, subsection (1A) of the
16	prohibition of harassment $$ section 1, subsection (1A)
17	was inserted into the Harassment Act by the Serious
18	Organised Crime and Police Act of 2005. And if you look
19	at the Protection from Harassment Act, section 1, you
20	will see that subsection $(1A)$ is what I was trying to
21	describe as the second form of harassment:
22	"A person must not pursue a course of conduct:
23	"(a) which involves harassment of two or more
24	persons, and:
25	"(b) which he knows or ought to know involves
	53
1	harassment of those persons, and:
2	"(c) by which he intends to persuade any person
3	(whether or not one of those mentioned above):
4	"(i) not to do something that he is entitled or
5	required to do, or:
6	"(ii) to do something that he is not under any
7	obligation to do."
8	So that was the example that Parliament introduced
9	a second type of harassment, which is harassment of at
10	least $$ two or more persons, with a view to getting
11	a third person to do something that it didn't want to
12	do. Or to stop
13	MR CURTIN: I don't think it's actually I don't think
14	I don't wish to have a conversation. I don't think that
15	SOCA ruling has ever really been used in a prosecution
16	that I'm aware of. I think that's why it's not known,
17 10	because it's actually not used.
18 10	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: If you want to find out, Mr Curtin, make a freedom of information request to the
19 20	make a freedom of information request to the Director of Public Prosecutions and find out how many
20	Director of Fubile Frosecutions and find out now many

20 Director of Public Prosecutions and find out how many

- 21 prosecutions there have been for section 1,
- 22 subsection (1A). It may be that, because of the
- 23 requirements of that section, it's not always an easy
- 24 offence to prove but I have no idea about that.
- 25 I certainly haven't seen many, but I have no idea. But

54

1 it 's --2 MR CURTIN: Okay, thank you. 3 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: But it's designed to deal with 4 a different type of harassment, but that's why Ms Bolton -- and Ms Bolton is particularly relying upon 5 6 that because that's important for her case. 7 MR CURTIN: Can I ask one more question. I always say that. 8 Can I just ask, because I speak to some of the people 9 who are named, and they're -- why is it -- especially 10 you, my Lord, you seem to take special interest in people who have maybe (inaudible) but you are quite 11 interested, ah, that's Mr Falsey, that's Mr Morley. Why 12 13 are you -- because as far as they are concerned, it's 14 done and dusted. You seem to take an interest. 15 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: It is. You can put their mind at rest, Mr Curtin. I'm not interested. Their case has been 16 17 resolved. I'm only interested in it because Ms Bolton 18 is dealing with the persons unknown, so on each of these 19 occasions, I just want to know which of these people has 20 actually been already dealt with because I can take them 21 out of the equation because they are not for these 22 purposes persons unknown. It's not because I am going 23 to do anything in relation to them. 24 MR CURTIN: Okay. 25 MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: They can rest easy. Their claims have 55

1	been resolved. That's an end of the matter, and I'm not
2	going to in any way refer to them in the judgment or
3	criticise them in any way. If I ever have to refer to
4	any of them, it will only be to indicate that they were
5	present on these occasions. I will say in the judgment
6	that for each of them the claims have been resolved and
7	that's an end of the matter. All right?
8	MR CURTIN: Excellent.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: So please put their minds at rest.
10	MR CURTIN: Thank you.
11	MS BOLTON: My Lord, there is just two things I need to hand
12	up.
13	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
14	$MS \ BOLTON: \ One \ is the witness \ statement \ about \ the witness$
15	statement preparation, which has already been $$ sorry,
16	I say there are two things I need to hand up. There is
17	one thing ${\sf I}$ need to hand up, which is that witness
18	statement, if I may.
19	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
20	MS BOLTON: The other is the statement of Mr Manning about
21	the gate and the banners $$
22	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Asking to remove?
23	MS BOLTON: And service on persons unknown.
24	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Yes.
25	MS BOLTON: Mr Manning hasn't been available, so he is going

1	to sign that witness statement and that will be with the
2	court by the end of today.
3	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: That's fine.
4	MS BOLTON: And obviously we are sending both of these to
5	Mr Curtin as well.
6	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Thank you very much. We will meet
7	again on Monday at 10.30. You will be making your
8	closing.
9	MS BOLTON: Yes.
10	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mr Curtin will have it 2 o'clock on
11	Saturday.
12	MS BOLTON: Yes.
13	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Mr Curtin, you've got quite a busy
14	weekend. The two key things for you to remember are
15	Ms Bolton's submissions that you will receive will
16	identify the arguments and evidence that she is relying
17	upon. One of the key things that you need to do is to
18	address anything you want to tell me about those matters
19	in your submissions. You can bring up other things
20	unrelated to those and you can make other points and
21	refer to other evidence if you want to but the key
22	target for your submissions is to respond to what
23	Ms Bolton says about you and why she says I should make
24	the order against you. That's your final opportunity to
25	say to me, "You shouldn't make that order," either

### 57

1	because you say it's not justified on the evidence or
2	that there are other reasons that you say an order
3	shouldn't be granted against you in those terms. All
4	right? It's not necessary to make an order $$ go on.
5	MR CURTIN: Will a verbal submission in person, will that
6	carry the weight of a written submission?
7	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Absolutely it will.
8	MR CURTIN: I will put some stuff in writing $$ okay, good.
9	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: Absolutely. The most important thing
10	is that you use your time to best effect for you.
11	I don't mind if the whole thing is oral because,
12	happily, I'm going to get a transcript, so if you find
13	that your time is best spent working out for you what
14	you want to say to me, don't $$ if the time doesn't
15	permit you to reduce that into writing, that's not
16	a problem. The key thing is that you have an
17	opportunity to say what you want to say to me in answer
18	to Ms Bolton's submissions and that's why it's important
19	for you to read what she says about you and the evidence
20	that she relies upon and you can either say, well, that
21	evidence $$ you can't accept that evidence because X, Y,
22	Z, or that evidence was contradicted by this evidence,
23	or that evidence doesn't prove what Ms Bolton says it
24	proves or, even if it does, you shouldn't grant any
25	order against me because it's not necessary or it's not

2	what you want but the key thing, because then you won't
3	miss anything, is to respond to the points that
4	Ms Bolton is making against you, all right?
5	MR CURTIN: Okay. Can I make $$ not a formal application
6	but I've done it in the past and $$ is to $$ and I've
7	received a plain English answer: how much have these
8	legal proceedings cost that have been brought against
9	me? What is the cost of this entire injunction and the
10	costs against me? Can I be given that information,
11	please.
12	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I don't think $$
13	MR CURTIN: Not necessarily now.
14	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I don't think that information is going
15	to be readily available. The reason for that is simple,
16	which is that the solicitors who act for the claimants
17	are conducting proceedings against not only you but have
18	been in the past conducting proceedings against several
19	other named defendants and, of course, they have been
20	conducting proceedings against persons unknown, so
21	extracting the costs figure of what it would have
22	cost $$ what costs are attributable to you and you
23	alone, that will be a difficult exercise to conduct.
24	The reason that $$

proportionate, et cetera. So those are -- just tell me

25 MR CURTIN: Can I have a ballpark figure, my Lord.

# 59

1	MD HISTICE NICKLING Liden's shirts that a hallward former is
	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: I don't think that a ballpark figure is
2	going to be very valuable. It doesn't really matter in
3	the final analysis. Whether or not the claimants are
4	entitled to a remedy against you isn't determinative or
5	determined by how much they've spent. There may be
6	other later aspects in the case where the court wants to
7	look more closely at costs but we haven't reached that
8	stage yet and the costs aren't legally relevant to the
9	matters that we are going to be dealing with on Monday.
10	I understand why you are interested in that in a very
11	broad sense but it's not something that you need to deal
12	with for Monday.
13	If you want to submit to me $$ and you have done in
14	the past $$ that the costs of these proceedings are
15	disproportionate, then, fine. I don't think Ms Bolton
16	will quibble with the fact that they've cost a very
17	large sum of money but, beyond that, you can't take that
18	matter any further and, as I've said, it's not legally
19	relevant for the issues I have to decide on Monday $$ or
20	listen to on Monday.
21	MR CURTIN: Okay, thank you.
22	MR JUSTICE NICKLIN: All right. Thank you very much.
23	Sorry that we have gone on a little bit longer but
24	you understand we are going to stop.
25	Right, thank you, everybody.

60

(12.15 pm)
(The court adjourned until Monday, 22 May at 10.30 am)
61

32:8 34:4.6 37:20 49:1.11

abandon (1) 3:2 ability (1) 25:1 able (9) 5:16 12:21,25 16:18 20:19,22 22:5 38:5 50:7 abort (1) 3:7 above (3) 10:19 11:4 54:3 34:4 absolutely (3) 40:22 58:7,9 abstained (1) 23:4 accept (6) 2:16 8:4 22:15 48:8 51:5 58:21 accepted (1) 28:7 access (5) 3:1,4,13,14 4:12 accessing (1) 2:22 achieves (1) 42:8 acknowledging (1) 24:15 acres (2) 9:6 35:14 across (2) 33:10 36:19 action (6) 3:16 8:17 12:1 41:16 43:25 51:21 activities (1) 2:16 activity (1) 41:17 acts (3) 8:14 27:19 41:18 actual (2) 39:1 48:4 actually (12) 1:10 21:9 30:5,8 32:23 45:12 47:12 49:8,11 54:13,17 55:20 add (2) 24:3 42:25 added (6) 35:17,18,24 37:22 38:3 53:6 adding (3) 20:8 34:25 43:25 additional (2) 53:5,9 address (7) 20:2 21:21 31:11 33:15 40:4 47:14 57:18 addresses (2) 7:9 16:9 adjoin (1) 12:24 adjourned (1) 61:2 advance (1) 46:7 after (5) 6:6 9:13,15 14:23 15:17 again (22) 2:24 4:10,11,14 19:24 6:24 7:17 8:6.10.21.23 9:25 13:18 15:6,23 16:2 17:14 19:13 23:18,25 40:17 43:18 57:7 55:7,8 against (43) 8:5,17 12:14 15:19 18:5,6 19:17 20:12.13.15.22:4.14.25 23:8 26:3.5.18.25 27:13.15 28:14.17 29:19 32:4 33:15 38:17.18 41:24 42:19 46:6 47:15,22 48:21 57:24 58:3,25 59:4,8,10,17,18,20 60:4 agent (1) 20:21 agents (3) 12:23 18:15,18 ago (2) 30:11 38:1 ah (1) 55:12 air (1) 31:8 alleged (1) 27:13 allows (1) 24:2 alone (1) 59:23 along (5) 25:11,13 26:15,23 48:2 already (5) 4:25 31:16 38:3 59:15 55:20 56:15 also (4) 1:20 3:13 12:23 39:3 alternative (3) 20:17 21:3 32:7 48:11 always (4) 37:10 39:10 54:23 55:7 amazed (1) 36:4 b (1) 53:25 amended (3) 14:4.5 16:19 amending (2) 12:12 13:7 amendments (1) 21:14 amongst (1) 34:14 amount (3) 16:21 39:24 45:14 amounting (2) 45:7 52:20 amounts (1) 39:13 analysis (1) 60:3 anchored (1) 8:1 animal (3) 1:23 36:1,3 annoyance (1) 45:14 another (8) 4:9 27:20 28:2 28:21 29:10

39:7 40:17 43:16 44:16 52:6 answer (7) 12:4 16:24 17:21 32:12 52:1 58:17 59:7 anybody (1) 34:22 anything (7) 8:23 31:23,25 39:25 55:23 57:18 59:3 anyway (4) 15:2 31:4 33:18 apart (1) 47:17 apologies (1) 9:23 appeal (17) 16:11 21:13 22:7.15 24:19 29:24.25 31:5,9,18 32:19,25 38:13 41:9 49:1 50:5,15 appeals (2) 22:9 34:5 appear (1) 7:15 appeared (3) 4:15,18 35:20 appears (5) 4:22 6:22 7:14 10:1.32:6 application (27) 11:22 12:6,13 13:20,20 14:23 17:1.10.11.24 18:12.24 19:9 20:1 25:1,8,17,19 27:22 28:3,6,10,22 33:14 39:15 48:3 59:5 applications (7) 15:2,4 17:20 18.11 23.10 14 30.2 applied (1) 12:24 applies (3) 40:12 41:12,25 apply (4) 20:17 28:16,21,21 appreciate (2) 2:10 8:22 approach (6) 16:10 22:13 28:24 29:23,24 32:18 arent (1) 60:8 arguably (2) 13:23 29:9 arguments (1) 57:16 arm (3) 10:1,8 11:9 around (2) 35:21 53:12 arrest (1) 13:12 arrested (4) 1:21 16:8 18:8 arrests (3) 12:21 13:9 39:13 arrive (1) 6:10 aside (1) 40:7 ask (5) 38:23 44:24 51:18 asked (1) 16:25 asking (7) 7:25 40:3 49:8,9,19,19 56:22 aspects (2) 15:6 60:6 asplin (2) 6:19 39:3 assaulted (1) 39:20 assessment (1) 48:4 assist (1) 50:12 assistance (2) 18:4 20:20 assuming (2) 45:4 50:22 attack (2) 37:11,13 attacked (1) 40:5 attempt (3) 1:13 3:2,7 attend (1) 43:5 attributable (1) 59:22 august (1) 3:11 authorities (2) 46:3 50:5 autumn (1) 23:11 available (3) 50:19 56:25 avoid (1) 44:10 aware (4) 35:2.3 39:18 54:16 away (4) 32:3 40:24 41:15 в back (12) 9:24 10:4,7 17:8,12 25:24 36:6 40:11.11 48:17.22 51:3 ballpark (2) 59:25 60:1 banners (1) 56:21 basis (2) 26:25 35:18 beagle (3) 6:22 35:4 43:3 bear (1) 35:10 became (1) 35:13 become (1) 49:23 becomes (4) 21:15 24:15

before (11) 5:15 8:23 13:16 16-19 23-4 24-5 40-25 42:24 44:2 48:4 49:7 beginning (1) 20:18 begun (2) 29:25 30:1 behaviour (3) 44:15 46:9,23 behind (1) 36:9 being (23) 4:24 13:24 15:8 22:6 23:19 24:18 27:2,13 30:11 32:9.16 33:3 34:22 39:2.19.20.25 40:5.16 52:6.16.21.22 believe (6) 4:7 7:15 11:7,7,8 14:25 benefit (2) 21:23 39:14 best (4) 40:14 44:3 58:10,13 better (1) 21:8 between (3) 9:25 35:15 45.13 beyond (1) 60:17 bin (1) 42:9 bind (5) 41:13 47:16 49:3.11.12 binds (1) 23:2 bit (7) 10:5,6,7 28:5 38:24 40:2 60:23 bits (1) 44:3 bizarre (1) 38:16 blockages (1) 35:23 blocked (1) 36:19 blue (1) 11:3 board (1) 48:23 bolton (166) 1:3,4,5,10,17,20 2.2 5 10 18 4.1 4 17 20 5:1.4.10 7:21 8:15 9:3.7.9 10:11,13,19,23 11:2,7,14,17,19,25 12:8.11.19 13:11.14.18.21 14:3,7,15,22 15:20,24 16:6,10,17 17:2,7,14,18,22,25 18:3,13 19:6.12.15 20:16 21:10.12 22:1.7 23:1.9.17.25 24:5.18.24 25:3.8.13.16.19 26:2,5,14,21 27:8,12,21,24 28:1,3,9,11,15,19,24 29:4,7,15,18,23 30:2,7,11,17,20,22 31:5,23 32:7,15,25 33:6,9,17,20,23 34:7 35:25 36:7 37:8 38:6.8.15.20 40:17.22 41:4.19 42:13 43:18.22 44:5,7,11,18,23 45:25 46:4,18 47:1 48:8 49:14,17,25 50:3,18,22 51:2,5,11,14 53:6,7,9 55:5,5,17 56:11,14,20,23,25 57:4,9,12,23 58:23 59:4 60:15 62:2 boltons (2) 57:15 58:18 born (1) 41:13 both (5) 4:22 5:6 7:23 22:21 57:4 bound (5) 24:25 25:20,22 29:13 49:24 boyle (2) 12:24 35:22 bracelet (3) 11:9 25:25 27:5 breach (1) 31:14 breached (1) 47:4 break (6) 9:2,5 42:22,23,24 44:25 breakin (1) 19:3 breakins (1) 1:23 bring (6) 4:8 15:1,18 18:5 29:16 57:19 brings (2) 36:6 37:3 broad (2) 39:24 60:11 broke (2) 14:11 35:6 broken (1) 35:2 brought (8) 11:22 14:23 15:15 23:10 37:4,5 39:25 59.8 broughton (1) 1:20 brown (1) 27:11

bundle (3) 2:7 8:9 10:15 busy (1) 57:13 c (1) 54:2 call (3) 36:20 47:17 52:4 calls (1) 46:25 cam (3) 10:20 11:4,4 came (1) 27:5 camera (1) 7:2 cameron (1) 32:20 camp (7) 35:4 37:12,13,25 38:1 39:22 43:3 campaign (5) 8:10,18,25 35:1 43:3 canada (3) 20:10 22:5,9 cannot (6) 6:14 36:17 40:15 41:6 42:1 43:18 cant (16) 10:17 16:6 21:2 32:13,23,23 34:17,24 35:9 37:9 43:8 48:18 50:17 52:16 58:21 60:17 capable (4) 13:24 19:22 33:3 42:11 capsule (1) 35:15 car (7) 3:10 6:24 8:8 9:11.12 19:24 27:18 careful (1) 43:15 caroline (3) 35:25 36:7 37:8 carriageway (1) 9:14 carry (1) 58:6 carrying (1) 40:2 cars (3) 3:11,14 43:12 cases (4) 23:19 39:12,15 51:22 catch (1) 10:8 catching (1) 11:5 categories (2) 41:1 44:14 category (10) 8:15 18:14 19:20 20:3 21:15 24:20 29:12 33:1 48:9 49:10 cater (1) 52:12 caught (4) 16:17 21:15 31:14 37:21 cause (2) 3:1 8:17 caused (1) 10:21 causes (4) 3:15 9:16 10:2 43:25 causing (2) 4:11 52:19 certainty (2) 20:6 42:9 cetera (2) 47:25 59:1 challenge (1) 48:2 challenged (2) 23:20 39:1 challenges (1) 18:22 challenging (2) 15:5 30:2 chance (2) 24:22,24 change (3) 31:19,21 48:3 changed (1) 53:14 changes (1) 31:10 changing (1) 48:19 chapter (2) 23:16 24:15 chose (1) 19:14 chosen (1) 21:21 circumstance (1) 20:16 circumstances (9) 34:21 45:9.10.17.19.46:8.21.47:7 52:12 citizen (3) 34:23 42:11 50:10 citizenfriendly (1) 50:2 citizens (2) 34:17,19 civil (6) 14:20,21 16:15 29:21 32:13.21 claimant (7) 14:4 17:9 18:18 32:16 40:2 45:4 47:25 claimants (6) 13:24 22:14 32:11 33:25 59:16 60:3 claimed (1) 11:9 claims (4) 15:19 42:18 55:25 56:6 clarified (2) 22:7 33:1 clarify (2) 43:19 50:18 clarity (1) 42:8 classic (2) 46:17 47:18 classically (1) 52:14 clear (6) 21:13 22:11 38:5 40:16 41:3.14

clearly (3) 38:24 48:20 51:7 close (1) 15:9 closely (1) 60:7 closing (8) 2:12 7:24 31:7,19 40:4 42:7 44:2 57:8 coattails (1) 40:3 collective (1) 53:1 come (18) 3:14 17:8 18:16,17 22:23 23:7 25:11.13 26:15 30:9 34:15 35:5 37:2 38:17 43:12 48:2.14 51:3 comers (1) 48:6 comes (5) 7:24 26:23 33:10 37:10 53:11 coming (3) 4:13 5:7 37:25 comments (1) 38:22 committal (1) 39:4 committed (3) 32:9,16 52:25 common (1) 3:12 communicate (2) 33:13,16 communicated (1) 33:4 company (3) 52:14,15,15 complaining (1) 39:23 concern (1) 48:15 concerned (1) 55:13 concerns (4) 2:5,21 9:10 38.20 conclusion (1) 46:22 concrete (2) 17:5 39:25 conduct (10) 26:4,5,8,9 45:7.12 47:12 52:20 53:22 59:23 conducted (1) 30:5 conducting (3) 59:17,18,20 consider (1) 28:20 considering (1) 33:9 consistent (3) 8:10,17 14:3 contact (1) 46:15 contempt (1) 14:19 continue (2) 1:22 48:25 continued (2) 1:3 62:2 contra (4) 48:24 49:5,8,19 contractor (1) 3:5 contradicted (1) 58:22 conventional (3) 32:4 49:20 52:10 conversation (4) 34:13,14 36:22 54:14 convoluted (1) 42:9 correct (2) 13:14 46:20 cost (4) 59:8.9.22 60:16 costs (6) 59:10.21.22 60:7,8,14 couldnt (3) 3:2 20:25 39:8 coulson (1) 15:24 country (1) 50:8 couple (3) 34:11 35:10 40:18 course (12) 2:13 26:4,5,8,9 45:7 46:11 51:21 52:6,20 53:22 59:19 courses (1) 41:23 courts (4) 16:15 21:10 32:9 41:2 cover (1) 43:20 covered (1) 1:10 crayon (1) 7:15 credibly (1) 50:13 crime (2) 53:11.18 criminal (4) 14:12 51:20,22,25 criteria (1) 45:22 criticise (1) 56:3 criticised (1) 16:11 cross (2) 33:24 45:13 crossed (1) 43:11 cuciurean (4) 15:25 22:10,14 38:11 current (2) 21:20 41:9 currently (4) 14:12 29:23 40:12,24 curtin (48) 3:23,24 19:10,11 30:24 34:10.11.25 38:7.8.22 39:17.19 40:6.7 41:24 42:4.22.25 43:18

48:16,17,22 50:19

54:13.18 55:2.7.16.24 56:8,10 57:5,10,13 58:5,8 59:5.13.25.60:21 curtinspecific (1) 48:20 D dagenham (2) 41:9 49:1 damage (4) 9:16 10:14,16,17 dash (3) 10:19 11:4,4 david (1) 39:18 day (6) 1:13 13:2,6 15:21 27:6 38:23 days (1) 48:14 deal (7) 15:13 16:16 17:5 32.17 49.2 55.3 60.11 dealing (7) 6:12 17:4 43:9 45:20 51:20 55:18 60:9 deals (2) 28:5 49:2 dealt (9) 2:19 15:5,14,16,18 18:24 31:9,15 55:20 debate (1) 49:15 debond (1) 5:13 decide (3) 25:4 30:25 60:19 decided (4) 5:5 11:10 17:1,21 deciding (1) 46:20 decision (7) 21:10,11 22:9,10 34:5 38:13 41:9 decisions (2) 30:4 50:15 defend (6) 23:7,12 38:17,18 45:4,8 defendant (14) 28:21 29:13 31:13.15 40:1 44:1 45:4,7,8,15,17,23 46:13 49:23 defendants (11) 14:21,24 20:8 23:10 30:16,17,18,18,20 35:15 59:19 defended (1) 17:17 deliberately (2) 23:3 27:17 demonstrate (1) 8:3 demonstrated (1) 45:23 demonstrates (1) 2:24 demonstration (2) 43:6,7 depending (1) 49:12 depends (4) 16:14 25:8.16.16 depriving (1) 47:11 describe (1) 53:21 described (3) 20:4,6,18 description (3) 20:8,11,23 designed (1) 55:3 despicable (1) 37:17 detail (1) 1:11 detailed (1) 43:24 determinative (1) 60:4 determined (2) 42:21 60:5 deterred (1) 46:12 developed (1) 7:7 didnt (7) 11:10 22:15 27:4 33:11,17 44:8 54:11 difference (4) 22:3 31:2 33:2 49:16 different (7) 18:9 19:20 30:25 33:1 52:13,24 55:4 difficult (3) 36:8 45:3 59:23 difficulties (1) 21:5 difficulty (1) 46:5 diminishing (1) 44:13 direct (2) 38:13 52:5 direction (1) 50:24 directions (1) 16:23 directly (1) 33:16 director (1) 54:20 disclosure (4) 11:22 12:5 18:25 39:15 discussed (1) 37:18 dismiss (3) 28:14,16,22 disproportionate (1) 60:15 disrepute (2) 37:4 38:4 does (4) 8:12 29:11,22 58:24 doesnt (17) 2:25 21:13 22:1 23:23 24:7.25 25:19 28:4

51:17.18.20 52:1 53:1.3.14

58.14 23 60.2 dog (2) 3:3 6:24 dogs (2) 35:3,5 doing (7) 17:19 21:23 25:15 26:12 47:20 48:6,19 done (12) 7:22 8:14,23 11:13 13:6 15:7 18:16 20:7 40:15 55:14 59:6 60:13 dont (44) 2:16 6:24 7:12 13:3.21 18:10 19:6 21:19 24:24 26:7 27:23 31:10.21.22.23.34:19.22 36:10 37:6,18 44:9,20,25 47:21 48:10,18 50:4,14,14,22,23 51:21 53:2,12 54:13,13,14,14 58:11.14 59:12.14 60:1.15 down (6) 1:14 30:13 36:11.12.37:2.51:7 draw (1) 7:25 drawing (1) 48:23 drawn (1) 13:24 drifting (1) 32:5 drivers (1) 3:7 drives (1) 4:22 driveway (4) 5:11,25 6:3,6 drop (1) 43:5 during (1) 48:13 dusted (1) 55:14 duty (1) 36:8 dvla (1) 19:8 dying (1) 36:23 earlier (4) 6:22 29:2 31:2 39:8 easier (2) 23:21 24:6 easy (9) 9:19 15:9 16:7 24:2 33:13 39:10 46:21 54:23 55:25 effect (3) 47:10 52:17 58:10 either (4) 13:3 23:9 57:25 58:20 else (1) 38:3 embargoed (1) 31:24 employee (11) 2:6 7:10,10,10 8:8 9:11,12,13 52:18.18.19 employees (3) 9:6 26:6 52:21 enable (1) 23:5 encourage (1) 33:23 encouraged (1) 24:18 encouragement (1) 6:7 encouraging (2) 22:13 33:7 end (11) 15:17,20 17:12 28:12 34:22 41:12 42:19 52:19 56:1.7 57:2 endorsed (1) 29:24 enforce (1) 20:14 enforceable (1) 8:5 engaged (1) 44:15 english (1) 59:7 enough (1) 11:11 enquiry (4) 12:23 18:15,18 20:20 enter (4) 4:3 6:3,6,15 entered (2) 5:11,24 entire (2) 17:24 59:9 entirely (1) 41:23 entitled (2) 54:4 60:4 equally (1) 48:22 equation (1) 55:21 escapes (1) 29:1 especially (2) 43:7 55:9 essentially (1) 52:3 establish (1) 26:3 et (2) 47:25 59:1 even (11) 2:25 3:3 29:25 30.1 31.14 36.10 37:14 19 25 42:14 58:24 event (2) 27:6 47:4 events (1) 35:4 ever (5) 27:1 46:23 48:1 54:15 56:3

minutes (12) 5:20,23 6:2,5,9

7:4 9:15.17.18.21 24:3

every (7) 16:1 42:10 44:1.8.9.10 47:11 everybody (2) 12:25 60:25 everyone (1) 38:2 everything (1) 46:10 evidence (28) 8:24 9:1 11:11 18:5,5 20:5 24:9 27:23,25 28:8 30:21 35:23 39:1,24 40:17 43:19 44:20 47:23 48.4 57.16 21 58:1.19.21.21.22.22.23 exactly (2) 25:16.16 example (20) 11:19 12:7.7.8 14:12 17:5 19:22 33:12 34:7 35:2 37:2,18 39:5 40:18 43:10 44:16 45:11,21 52:7 54:8 examples (2) 22:22 44:21 excellent (1) 56:8 except (1) 27:10 excluded (2) 25:12 27:7 excuse (1) 37:10 exercise (3) 21:1 45:18 59:23 exhibit (3) 10:9,9,13 exists (1) 44:19 expanded (2) 52:2,11 expect (1) 18:10 expected (1) 34:20 explain (2) 34:16 40:14 explained (1) 14:15 explaining (1) 50:2 explains (1) 51:7 explored (1) 46:2 expressed (1) 49:1 extended (1) 1:17 extensive (2) 10:21.22 extract (1) 1:12 extracting (1) 59:21 exuberance (1) 45:16 facebook (1) 35:5 factor (1) 36:20 fair (1) 40:1 fairer (1) 23:24 fairly (1) 21:16 fall (1) 18:14 fallen (1) 42:18 falsey (1) 55:12 far (3) 2:14 32:3 55:13 favour (1) 23:7 fear (1) 33:7 february (2) 14:25 23:12 fell (1) 11:9 felt (1) 37:24 female (1) 5:24 fence (2) 1:14 35:6 few (2) 5:15 13:5 figure (3) 59:21.25 60:1 file (6) 15:8,11,12 16:6,23 24:9 filed (1) 17:16 final (8) 21:21 30:6,13 47:3 48:15 49:3 57:24 60:3 finally (1) 48:1 find (11) 2:6 7:11 18:21 20:20 39:9 40:23 50:1 52:9 54:18.20 58:12 findings (1) 20:12 fine (2) 57:3 60:15 finished (1) 43:21 fire (2) 47:6 51:15 first (7) 1:5 5:5 6:12 12:12 27:1 38:16 52:4 five (2) 7:4 24:3 fix (1) 32:13 flexibility (2) 23:20 28:5 follow (2) 22:1 46:16 following (2) 1:18 46:23 form (1) 53:21 formal (1) 59:5 forward (1) 50:13 forwards (2) 11:11 51:6 four (2) 5:11 50:5 fourth (1) 6:2 fracturing (1) 10:22

frame (1) 41:8 free (2) 24:2 48:18 freedom (1) 54:19 friday (1) 24:4 front (2) 6:23 30:3 fs (1) 2:6 full (1) 34:8 funeral (1) 7:21 further (10) 3:9 5:10,24 6:6 11:11,15 26:17 36:3 44:17 60:18 future (2) 47:10 48:5 G game (1) 49:7 gammell (1) 49:22 gammelled (3) 29:5,9 49:7 gardner (3) 4:7 12:24 35:22 gate (7) 5:12 6:4 13:9 33:6 34:15 35:24 56:21 gates (1) 6:14 gave (3) 22:10 30:21 43:10 generic (1) 43:2 get (24) 2:12 3:2,3,7 9:19 11:23 12:6,13,13 15:25 16:21 18:9 19:6 21:6 24:21 30:9 35:5 36:5 40:25 42:17 44:20 49:7.10 58:12 getgo (1) 35:12 gets (2) 29:12 30:25 getting (3) 17:10 38:3 54:10 give (4) 32:17 38:5 43:18 44:16 given (6) 11:14 12:1 22:22 37:12 40:5 59:10 gives (1) 21:3 giving (1) 36:24 glover (3) 35:17 36:18 39:3 glue (1) 6:3 glued (1) 5:12 glueing (1) 13:9 goes (2) 5:22 43:3 going (32) 5:7 9:14 10:3 16:7 30:10 33:23 38:10 40:23 41:6.8.10.11.13 42:23 43:13,14 44:17,21,25 47:2,7,10,22 50:24 55:22 56:2,25 58:12 59:14 60:2,9,24 gone (2) 40:11 60:23 good (6) 12:7 39:5 44:2 50:25 51:12 58:8 goose (3) 20:10 22:5.9 graffiti (2) 7:22 8:7 graham (2) 37:16,16 grant (5) 41:7,23 46:20 47:22 58:24 granted (4) 23:11 26:24 30:13 58:3 granting (1) 46:12 grapple (3) 30:1,23 50:6 grappled (1) 31:6 grappling (1) 31:18 grass (2) 9:17 37:15 grateful (2) 42:13 51:14 ground (1) 43:17 group (2) 14:24 51:23 grouped (2) 19:18 52:22 groups (1) 33:3 guess (1) 19:12 guidance (1) 22:5 guilty (5) 26:1 27:4,18 29:10 46:17 н hadnt (1) 37:25 hair (1) 6:18 hallmarks (1) 43:2 hand (6) 1:24 27:5 48:18 56:11.16.17 handdown (1) 31:24 handed (1) 30:13 handful (2) 14:6 50:8 hands (1) 35:9

happen (3) 8:12 34:1 46:11 happened (8) 1:21,23 5:4,6 12:9 13:1 31:25 39:16 happening (3) 5:8 17:9 34:2 happens (3) 9:13 25:2 47:9 happily (1) 58:12 happy (1) 31:11 harass (4) 51:23 52:7,15,18 harassed (4) 26:12 52:6,8,16 harassing (3) 51:24 52:14,17 harassment (48) 2:5,11 3:15 7:18 8:1.6.16 10:13 19:2 26:1.8.13.17.18.21.21.27:4 29:4,10 45:1,2,6,8,15,22 46:2,6 47:21 51:21 52:2,3,5,10,11,13,20,23,24 53:1,16,17,19,21,23 54:1,9,9 55:4 hard (2) 12:20 20:21 hasnt (5) 15:3 29:25 30:1 36:18 56:25 hat (1) 50:10 havent (16) 5:16 11:15 12:2,25 13:1,2 14:15 17:23,25 18:17,24 20:22 37:7,8 54:25 60:7 having (2) 28:7 44:10 head (1) 53:4 hear (6) 4:7 27:21 31:11 34:13 37:18 51:5 heard (9) 15:4 25:1,3,9,13 27:1 28:4.15 43:3 hearing (4) 12:17 13:16 23:8 30:13 hearings (1) 51:23 held (2) 4:4 5:7 hell (1) 37:17 helped (1) 12:2 here (4) 10:6 24:12 33:2 50:13 hes (1) 38:10 hide (1) 36:15 high (1) 10:24 highlights (1) 44:4 highway (6) 2:19 3:10,12,13 4:12 5:18 highways (1) 39:12 himself (3) 22:10 38:17,18 hint (1) 31:24 hit (1) 11:10 hits (2) 10:19,24 hodson (1) 7:2 hold (2) 35:8 36:5 home (2) 44:20 46:15 homes (1) 8:20 hour (3) 5:23 6:1,5 hours (1) 6:9 house (2) 2:6 47:6 human (1) 52:16 husband (1) 52:8 id (1) 36:20 idea (6) 21:7 35:1 39:13 43:14 54:24.25 identifiable (1) 20:22 identified (9) 2:14 8:16.20 11:16 18:20 21:17 25:10 46:13 48:1 identifies (1) 48:20 identify (22) 4:8 8:2,22 11:12 12:21.25 13:4 14:7 16:19 18:4.16.18 19:19 20:11 21:2.22 22:6.16 23:5 39:7,8 57:16 identifying (2) 13:25 19:22 identity (1) 36:15 ii (1) 54:6 ill (2) 21:19 47:17 illustrated (1) 44:14 im (38) 3:22.24 4:20 9:14 10:7 14:19.20 17:4 24:22 27:3 30:11 31:11 36:11,21,22 38:5 40:15,23 41:6.7.13 42:13 43:10.19

45:20 47:22.23 48:6.6.23

49:7 50:22 51:14 54:16 55.16 17 56.1 58.12 imagine (3) 25:15 34:17,25 impact (1) 3:6 important (5) 21:22 49:5 55:6 58:9,18 impose (1) 47:7 imposing (2) 46:5 48:5 improved (1) 44:22 inadvertently (1) 27:5 inaudible (2) 53:13 55:11 incident (18) 1:6 2:3.5.19 4:9.10 5:11.19 6:13 7:7.8 8:12 10:2 11:8 13:1,9 19:2 39:21 incidents (11) 11:19 14:9 18:20 21:18 26:18 39:5.8.23 43:22.23 44:5 incitement (1) 1:22 inclined (1) 41:22 include (2) 24:7 42:1 inconceivable (2) 33:9,17 index (1) 62:1 indicate (1) 56:4 indicated (3) 20:10 34:1 44:8 indication (1) 42:13 individual (5) 12:15 26:19 37.17 40.5 49.12 individuals (2) 13:25 38:2 inference (2) 9:4 13:23 inferences (1) 7:25 information (14) 12:14,17,22 13:3,22 14:9 19:6,21 36:25 37:1 40:5 54:19 59:10,14 initially (1) 9:18 injunction (45) 1:18 8:5 14:2 21:25 22:21 25:6,12,23 26:25 27:3,7,10 29:2,14 30:12.25 31:4 33:18 35:6,8,13 36:6,9,18 37:3,4,15,19 38:3,14,19 40:10,12 41:7,23 43:1 46:6.13 47:4.9.13.22 49:21.24 59:9 iniunctions (5) 41:3 47:15 49:3 50:9,16 inserted (1) 53:17 insofar (1) 49:20 instance (2) 19:1 39:11 instructions (1) 38:5 intends (1) 54:2 inter (2) 46:11 49:6 interest (3) 38:13 55:10.14 interested (7) 13:25 14:1 21:24 55:12,16,17 60:10 interests (1) 47:25 interfere (1) 14:18 interfered (1) 14:16 interfering (2) 3:12 4:12 interim (1) 12:18 intervene (1) 39:22 into (19) 3:7 4:8 7:7 18:14 23:16 29:12 32:5 35:2,6 37:3,4,4,5 43:5 48:19 49:7.10 53:17 58:15 introduce (1) 14:5 introduced (1) 54:8 invite (1) 42:7 involved (2) 2:15 39:4 involves (4) 3:10 26:9 53:23,25 involving (2) 4:9,10 irritations (1) 45:13 isnt (4) 14:8 16:12 39:16 60:4 issued (1) 13:19 issues (3) 30:1.9 60:19 item (3) 10:6 11:3 19:23 its (90) 1:20 2:7,19,20,22 3:2,5,6,11,12,14 4:11,12,13 6:21 7:15,18,19 8:17,24 9:1,18,22,25 10:2.4.5.13.19.21 11:4.4 12:10 15:5.9 16:7.20 17:18 19:19 20:8.13 21:11 23:23 25:20 26:4 33:17 34:12

36-13 23 37-23 38-16 39.22 40.2 20 21 42.22 25 44:17.21 46:2 47:23 50:11.24 51:21.23 52:7.14.14.16.17 53:5,7,8,9,10 54:13.16.17.23 55:1,3,13,22 58:1,4,18,25,25 60:11,18 itself (3) 35:14 38:4 41:3 ive (12) 1:11 2:9 15:17 26:23 27:1 38:11 40:11 43:22 47:20 59:6.6 60:18 jacket (1) 6:20 jaffray (1) 7:22 jeopardise (1) 24:8 jeopardises (1) 24:11 joan (1) 27:11 iob (3) 48:6 50:11.12 iobs (1) 34:15 join (8) 5:16 14:24 15:2 23:10,20,22 28:13,16 joined (9) 14:13 15:4,8 18:13 22:6 24:12 36:18 39:6 52:22 joining (1) 15:10 judge (4) 21:23 22:10 30:5 50:6 judged (1) 45:12 judgment (5) 22:11 26:24 38:11 56:2.5 july (4) 1:6 2:3,19 35:15 justifiable (1) 48:25 justified (1) 58:1 keep (3) 10:3 17:19.19 kev (7) 43:23 44:14 57:14,17,21 58:16 59:2 killer (1) 7:12 kitchen (2) 16:10 22:12 knew (3) 34:1,8 40:6 know (30) 2:1,16 4:2 6:24 7:21.22.23 8:4 18:6 31:10.22.23 33:17.21 36:10 37:5,6 43:9,13 44:19.19 47:21 48:12 50:23 51:4,8 53:2,3,25 55:19 known (4) 5:14,15 7:19 54.16 knows (3) 33:22 38:2 53:25 I (1) 7:10 lady (13) 6:7,18,20 13:14 19:1,23,23 22:19,19 24:24 25:24,25 33:6 land (2) 3:14 33:25 large (6) 1:14 14:8 19:17 22:2 39:24 60:17 last (12) 4:2 12:9 14:23 15:13.14 16:1 17:12 19:2 23:11 24:3 37:22 48:13 late (3) 13:2,6 24:7 later (3) 23:22 39:6 60:6 latest (2) 50:5 51:4 laura (1) 35:22 lauren (1) 4:7 lawyers (1) 40:11 leads (1) 22:11 least (3) 12:17 52:25 54:10 leave (2) 6:15 40:7 led (1) 38:22 left (2) 9:17 32:11 legal (1) 59:8 legally (2) 60:8,18 lengths (1) 33:23 less (3) 24:5 44:16,22 let (3) 5:5 23:6 44:24 lets (6) 17:5 25:24 36:21

42:9 43:1 46:14

letter (1) 7:14 letters (2) 7:9,19 level (1) 21:14 like (15) 4:24 5:6.19 16:15 30:1.6.22 31:25 35:20 36:25 37:18 43:9 46:17.24 52:14 likely (1) 48:9 limit (1) 22:17 limited (2) 21:24 48:10 line (4) 32:10 35:19 36:19 45:13 listen (1) 60:20 litigate (1) 19:17 litigation (5) 20:9 23:16 24:16 32:5 34:18 little (9) 10:5,6,7 32:1 38:24 40:2 46:2 51:14 60:23 logical (1) 49:4 london (1) 30:12 long (2) 16:20 18:21 longer (2) 42:20 60:23 look (16) 2:23 5:19 6:15 9:21 10:23,23 11:2 41:6 42:6 43:6 46:20 51:4 52:2 53:4,18 60:7 looked (3) 1:6 2:9 40:11 looking (1) 17:11 looks (5) 4:24 5:5 10:22 30:22 36:25 loosely (1) 51:24 lordship (9) 7:25 24:14 26:14 31:11 38:20 40:3 43:20,24 51:5 lorry (2) 2:21 3:7 lot (6) 1:8 13:3 18:6.7.15 20:21 lots (1) 51:12 louise (1) 35:22 м main (1) 9:14 makes (3) 20:15 23:21 29:21 making (15) 2:13 14:21 15:25 17:11,19 23:7 32:2 41:3 44:1 46:25 48:4.7 49:8 57:7 59:4 man (2) 19:3 37:10 manage (1) 4:8 managed (1) 13:4 manager (1) 36:6 managerless (2) 35:11,14 managerlessness (1) 36:7 manning (8) 4:4,15,18 7:10 37.14 39.18 56.20 25 mannings (4) 1:7 3:10,20 4:5 many (5) 2:25 14:3 37:24 54:20.25 massive (2) 39:12 43:16 material (1) 23:4 matter (9) 7:18 8:6 20:15 34:4.6 56:1.7 60:2.18 matters (2) 57:18 60:9 maybe (3) 36:19,19 55:11 mbr (2) 9:6 35:14 mean (2) 8:13 32:3 meant (2) 3:6 23:15 measure (2) 32:4 47:24 measures (2) 53:8,9 media (1) 36:14 meet (1) 57:6 meets (1) 45:5 member (1) 3:3 mentioned (1) 54:3 message (1) 8:19 met (1) 45:22 method (1) 20:17 midaugust (1) 35:16 midwinter (1) 7:23 might (9) 23:15 29:8.8 45:21 46:10.21 49:12 52:4.9 millions (2) 37:20,20 mind (3) 41:16 55:15 58:11 minds (1) 56:9

minute (3) 19:11 43:10,11

35:10 mirror (1) 10:25 mislead (1) 35:25 miss (2) 24:24 59:3 missed (2) 11:4 24:22 misunderstandings (1) 22:8 mmhm (4) 17:13 24:17 28.23 30.15 nodel (1) 49:6 moment (10) 6:15 31:7.8.12.23 32:1 42:15.23 48:24 49:18 monday (7) 52:18 57:7 60:9,12,19,20 61:2 money (1) 60:17 month (1) 38:1 more (30) 8:24 10:7 11:20 14:1 15:1.2.5.7.10.15 21:24 23:14,20 24:7 26:6,9,11,12 27:19 29:15 42:25 43:20.22.24 44:7 48:10 53:23 54:10 55:7 60:7 morley (1) 55:12 morning (2) 46:25 47:5 most (9) 10:16 18:3.13.17.17 38:12 44:5 45:3 58:9 ms (170) 1:3,4,5,10,17,20 2:2,5,10,18 4:1,4,17,20 5:1,4,10 6:19 7:21,22,23 8:15 9:3,7,9 10:11,13,19,23 11:2.7.14.17.19.25 12:8.11.19.24.24 13:11,14,18,21 14:3,7,15,22 15:20,24 16:6.10.17 17:2,7,14,18,22,25 18:3,13 19:6,12,15 20:16 21:10,12 22:1,7 23:1,9,17,25 24:5.18.24 25:3.8.13.16.19 26:2.5.14.21 27:8.12.21.24 28:1.3.9.11.15.19.24 29:4,7,15,18,23 30:2,7,11,17,20,22 31:5,23 32:7,15,25 33:6,9,17,20,23 34:7 38:6,8,15,20 40:17,22 41:4.19 42:13 43:18.22 44:5.7.11.18.23 45:25 46:4.18 47:1 48:8 49:14.17.25 50:3.18.22 51:2,5,11,14 53:6,7,9 55:5,5,17 56:11,14,20,23,25 57:4,9,12,15,23 58:18,23 59:4 60:15 62:2 much (11) 14:1 23:21,23 31:7 36:17 44:17 48:10 57:6 59:7 60:5.22 multiple (1) 42:10 mundum (4) 48:24 49:5,9,19 musings (1) 50:5 must (9) 11:12 41:17,18 46:14.15.15.16 53:12.22 mute (1) 3:23 N name (17) 2:8 5:14 11:14 12:23 13:15 16:4.5 17:10 20:1 22:3.3 27:14 33:15 35:7 37:16 18 41:25 named (19) 12:22 25:4 28:19,21 30:18,20

31:13,15 35:15 37:7,10,13 38:2 40:1 41:19,21,22 55:9 59:19 names (5) 11:23 12:1,7 37:15,22 naming (3) 16:1 37:23 42:15 nature (2) 34:13 52:12 neale (2) 37:16,16 near (1) 2:6 necessarily (1) 59:13

dwritten (1) 7:9

34:8 39:5.18 40:1 46:24

something (11) 12:9 23:19

51:7 54:4.6.11 60:11

29:15 31:22 34:10 39:21

omeone (1) 34:25

necessary (4) 20:12 47:24 58.4 25 need (12) 26:3 33:14 40:4,11 47:14 51:8 52:2 56:11,16,17 57:17 60:11 needed (2) 17:25 18:4 needs (1) 50:19 neighbours (1) 7:13 neither (1) 33:21 nervous (1) 37:24 never (5) 36:15 37:3 38:5 41:16 47:2 nevertheless (2) 45:9.15 newcomers (4) 47:10,16 48:6 49:3 next (13) 2:18 3:9 5:10 7:8,17 8:6,12 9:9 19:4 20:3 24:4 43:11 50:20 nicklin (170) 1:4,9,16,19,25 2:4.9.17.3:25.4:2.15.18.21 5:3,9 7:19 8:13 9:1,4,8 10:10,12,17,21 11:1.6.12.16.18.21 12:4,10,16 13:8,13,17,19,23 14:5,11,19 15:17,22 16.4 7 14 24 17.4 13 17 21 23 18.2 9 19:3,8,11,14,16 21:6,11,19 22:4,18 23:3,15,23 24:1.17.20 25:2.7.11.14.18.24 26:3,11,20,23,24 27:9,16,23,25 28.2710121823 29:1.5.8.17.21.25 30:5,8,15,18,21,23 31:21 32:3,12,19 33:5,8,12,19,21 34:4.9.17 38:10.16 40:7,20,23 41:5,21 42:17 43:21 44:3,6,9,12,19,24 46:1,5,19 47:2 48:12 49:15.18 50:1.4.21.25 51:3.9.12.16.19 52:1 53:2.4.8.14 54:18 55:3,15,25 56:9,13,19,22,24 57:3,6,10,13 58:7,9 59:12,14 60:1,22 nobody (1) 41:18 none (2) 46:22 48:13 nor (1) 33:21 note (1) 38:23 nothing (6) 17:9 33:25 36:2 39:22 40:6 42:3 nowhere (1) 21:1 number (9) 13:8 14:8,22 19:17 27:4 35:4 38:20 39:4 44:7 numbers (1) 22:2 nutshell (1) 1:13 0 object (4) 9:11,16,20 27:2 objection (1) 49:5 objective (2) 45:5.22 objectively (1) 45:12 obligation (1) 54:7 obstruction (8) 2:18 3:1,9,11 4:11 5:18 6:16 39:12 obtain (2) 22:24 23:1 obtained (1) 17:15 obtaining (3) 12:16 14:1 21:24 obviously (13) 4:13 5:1,6,18 6:13,14 7:24 8:1 43:22 44:23 48:18 50:19 57:4 occasion (3) 12:3 17:22 45:13 occasions (3) 47:20 55:19 56:5 occurred (2) 4:9 45:1 oclock (1) 57:10 offence (2) 52:24 54:24 offences (1) 52:2

often (2) 11:25 12:22 oh (1) 37:8 okay (13) 4:1 11:1 12:10 13:17 25:18.24 48:12 51:16 55:2.24 58:8 59:5 60:21 old (1) 53:1 once (1) 28:21 ones (1) 18:23 online (1) 1:22 onwards (1) 10:16 open (5) 4:16.19.21.22.25 opened (3) 4:20.24 6:14 operate (1) 49:21 opportunity (5) 22:23 23:6 47:12 57:24 58:17 oppose (1) 27:16 oral (3) 27:25 28:8 58:11 order (39) 16:18 20:13,14 21:4 22:24 23:1.8 27:15 28:19 29:19 33:14 37:1 40:12,25 41:8,10,11,24 42:3.8.10 48:3.5.17.20.21 49:9,10,19,24 50:12,16,19,23 57:24,25 58:2,4,25 orders (2) 30:14 48:24 ordinary (3) 34:17,19,23 organised (3) 35:4 53:11,18 original (1) 52:5 otherwise (2) 32:10 42:2 ought (4) 16:15,16 25:6 53:25 ourselves (1) 13:4 over (3) 33:24 35:8,19 overnight (1) 8:7 overspeaking (1) 41:21 overtaken (1) 43:14 own (2) 22:24 41:24 p (1) 7:10 package (1) 53:8 pages (3) 8:9 40:25 42:16 panned (1) 6:21 paragraph (1) 2:20 parasitic (1) 3:15 parliament (1) 54:8 part (21) 1:18,24 8:21.24 13:19.20 15:6.6 16:25 17:24 18:10.12 23:18.21 24:1.6 26:16 33:19 37:11 45:16 46:19 partes (2) 46:11 49:6 participation (1) 24:23 particular (4) 8:14 23:18 43:1 46:1 particularly (2) 26:7 55:5 particulars (1) 12:12 parties (3) 24:6 41:16 42:15 parts (1) 28:20 party (4) 3:5 11:22 12:5 25:5 past (5) 6:21 7:2 59:6,18 60:14 pauline (1) 7:2 pause (2) 3:22 10:5 pausing (5) 3:19 4:6 6:12,18 7:1 paying (1) 36:10 penalty (1) 47:7 pending (1) 14:12 people (67) 1:22 2:25 5:6,7 9:2 11:24 12:23.23 14:6.8.11 15:2.10.19 16:5,7,18 18:7,19 19:18,18,21,24 20:3,4 21:7.9.17.22 22:6.16 23:22 24:3,7,12 33:7,23 34:15 35:2,5,16,21 36:4 37:7.24.25 39:10.14.16 41.1 5 13 42.17 20 43:4.5.9 47:11.18 49:13 50:8 51:8,23 52:8 55:8,11,19 peoples (2) 5:14 40:9 perfectly (1) 33:13

perhaps (1) 47:19 permission (4) 14:25 16:22 17:15 23:11 permit (1) 58:15 permitting (1) 20:24 person (49) 6:2,23 7:16 8:3 9:16,19 10:1,2,4,5 13:4 16:1 17:2,4,15 18:11 20:1,11,12,13 22:19 24:8,9 26:6,10,12,12 27:3.14 29:12 32:23 33:1.12.20 36:16 38:8 39:2 7 19 43:15 47:5.5 52:6.6.7 53:22 54:2,11 58:5 personally (1) 48:22 persons (57) 2:7 5:11,13,24 6:6 8:9,15 10:15 14:1 16:17 19:19 20:25 21:16.25 22:2.21 25:12 26:22.25.27:10.29:1 30:12,14,25 31:3,14 33:3 34:18 38:14,18,21 41:1,7 42:3.6 44:4.15 46:6.15 47:9,15,18 48:5,9,23 49:9,21 50:9,15,22 53:24 54:1,10 55:18,22 56:23 59.20 nersuade (3) 42.2 45.15 54.2 perverse (1) 21:6 petrified (1) 35:18 picked (2) 38:25 44:1 pink (1) 6:18 place (3) 32:21 36:23 43:5 placed (1) 34:20 plain (1) 59:7 plans (1) 7:21 plate (1) 19:5 play (6) 3:20 6:19,25 7:3 10:3.6 played (15) 2:23 3:18,21 4:1 5:21,25 6:4,8,11,17,19,25 7:3,5 9:23 plead (1) 12:14 pleaded (1) 8:16 pleading (4) 13:7 14:10 16:10 22:12 please (23) 2:23 3:17,21,22 5:20,20 6:1,10,16,19,25 7:3,4 9:22,24 10:3,4,5,7 48:16 51:18 56:9 59:11 pleased (1) 36:22 pm (1) 61:1 points (7) 14:22 30:3 40:8,9,18 57:20 59:3 police (24) 1:8 5:12 6:10 11:6,10,16,23 12:1,6,17,22 13:2,15 14:8,17 16:8 17:8 18:6,8,17,25 19:25 33:15 53:18 political (1) 53:10 position (7) 15:1 21:6.8.12.20 24:10 25:10 positively (1) 22:4 possible (6) 19:19 20:10,14 40:14 51:22 52:7 posted (1) 2:16 posters (1) 2:6 posts (1) 36:15 potentially (3) 15:18 32:12 49:11 preparation (1) 56:15 prepared (1) 9:2 present (4) 27:6 36:8 42:8 56.5 pressick (1) 1:11 pressicks (1) 2:20 presumably (1) 13:8 presuming (1) 27:16 pretence (1) 41:15 preventing (1) 2:21 previous (1) 14:24 primary (1) 41:2 principle (1) 49:22 prizes (1) 40:15 probably (10) 10:15 12:8

13:5 14:17 27:12,14,15

rearview (1) 10:25

34:8 42:2 50:8 problem (1) 58:16 problems (2) 32:13 47:14 procedure (4) 15:7 24:2,7 37:23 proceeding (1) 42:15 proceedings (43) 4:8 5:17 12:18 14:14,16,18,19,20,21 15:10 16:15 17:17.24 18:1 23:12 24:13 25:5 27:2.14 28:13.16.29:3.13.22 30:19.20.32:6.14.21 38:17,21 39:4 41:20,21,22 42:21 46:11 49:23 59:8,17,18,20 60:14 process (4) 15:22 16:20 46:19 52:5 prohibiting (2) 41:17 42:12 prohibition (2) 25:21 53:16 prohibitions (1) 48:9 prominence (1) 20:5 proportionate (5) 17:19 22:17,18 47:24 59:1 prosecution (2) 14:12 54:15 prosecutions (2) 54:20,21 protect (2) 47:24 53:9 protection (1) 53:19 protest (5) 1:14 8:19 9:6 43:1 45:18 protested (1) 7:23 protester (3) 43:8,16 45:11 protesters (6) 2:15 8:10,14 24:21 34:2,14 protesting (3) 2:11 8:2,4 protestors (1) 8:13 protests (1) 8:21 proud (1) 36:16 prove (4) 8:22 27:19 54:24 58:23 proves (2) 45:5 58:24 provide (9) 11:23 12:6 14:9 20:1 36:25 43:23 44:23 48:16 50:22 provided (1) 13:2 provides (2) 32:7 52:3 public (1) 54:20 pulls (1) 9:13 puppy (1) 7:12 purpose (1) 25:14 purposes (3) 52:21,23 55:22 pursue (2) 20:22 53:22 pursued (1) 45:7 pursuing (1) 46:14 putting (1) 23:4 Q q (1) 7:10 qs (1) 8:8 question (6) 12:4.16 16:24 49:2 51:18 55:7 questions (1) 40:3 quibble (1) 60:16 quicker (1) 13:22 quickly (1) 31:22 quite (20) 1:14 2:22 7:7 10:24 11:25 12:20,22 13:1 14:17 18:15 21 19:15 20:21 28:5 31:11 39:6 44:7 51:3 55:11 57:13 R raised (3) 12:16 30:24 38:20 rang (1) 47:5 rather (3) 15:6 38:22 39:1 reach (1) 46:22 reached (1) 60:7 read (5) 26:23 38:11 50:4,14 58:19 readily (1) 59:15 real (2) 21:5 49:16 really (10) 13:25 15:1 17:25 33:5 36:14 43:4 44:10 49:2 54:15 60:2

reason (5) 16:12 19:7 48:10 59:15.24 reasonable (6) 45:10,19 46:9.23 47:2.12 reasons (3) 25:22 45:2 58:2 rebellion (3) 1:23 36:1,3 recall (1) 1:5 receive (1) 57:15 received (1) 59:7 recent (3) 15:25 22:9 38:12 recently (3) 16:11 21:13 31:6 recollection (1) 20:7 redacted (1) 2:8 reduce (1) 58:15 refer (3) 56:2,3 57:21 reference (1) 46:10 referred (2) 38:12 39:17 refreshing (1) 34:12 refused (2) 20:19 21:4 regarded (2) 52:21.22 regime (1) 24:2 regimes (1) 52:3 registration (1) 19:5 related (1) 16:7 relation (7) 17:2 20:7 21:7 22:18 29:4 48:15 55:23 relevance (1) 42:20 relevant (6) 1:12,24 45:6,17 60:8,19 relies (1) 58:20 relying (2) 55:5 57:16 remains (2) 41:10 42:20 remedy (5) 32:8,17,24 46:20 60:4 remember (2) 1:16 57:14 reminded (1) 1:25 remotely (2) 48:14,25 remove (1) 56:22 render (1) 50:12 rendering (1) 48:19 repeated (1) 35:23 repetition (1) 44:22 reported (2) 11:6 17:7 represent (1) 37:20 representations (1) 24:10 represented (2) 30:15,17 request (1) 54:19 require (4) 2:25 16:4 21:14 36:24 required (5) 5:13 16:2,12 17:14 54:5 requirements (2) 45:5 54:23 requires (1) 24:5 research (1) 53:10 resolved (4) 42:19 55:17 56:1,6 respect (3) 24:19 32:7 38:8 respects (1) 21:22 respond (2) 57:22 59:3 responsibility (1) 41:2 rest (3) 55:15.25 56:9 restrain (1) 46:13 restrictions (2) 34:20 42:5 returns (1) 44:13 review (1) 41:11 reviewed (1) 41:11 rewind (1) 10:4 rid (1) 42:17 rights (1) 32:15 road (9) 3:1.19 4:5 19:4 22:20 33:10,24 35:8 43:11 ruling (1) 54:15 running (1) 15:3 S safeguard (1) 47:8 safety (1) 47:3 same (9) 6:23 7:14,15,16 8:19 20:24 52:8.17 53:7 satisfied (3) 45:6,14 47:23 saturday (1) 57:11

saw (2) 6:23 19:1

48:23

saying (9) 24:12 33:10 36:22

38:10,24 41:16 42:3 46:8

solutions (1) 32:11

somebody (8) 2:11 3:4 4:23

scared (1) 33:24 schedules (1) 43:24 scratch (1) 48:17 seasoned (1) 34:2 second (5) 3:22 24:20.21 53:21 54:9 secondly (2) 14:10 39:21 seconds (7) 5:20,23 6:2,5,10 9:15,21 section (4) 53:16,19 54:21.23 security (1) 5:1 see (22) 3:5.13 4:11.23 6:2,6,10,16 7:6 10:17,24,25 11:8 12:19 19:16 32:3 39:7,9 44:8,9 46:9 53:20 seeing (2) 18:7 42:25 seem (3) 23:23 55:10,14 seemed (1) 1:7 seems (3) 24:13,19 49:4 seen (4) 6:21 7:1 18:19 54:25 selective (1) 44:12 semantic (1) 49:16 sending (1) 57:4 sense (3) 29:21 51:20 60:11 sensible (1) 51:6 sent (3) 7:9,19,22 sentence (1) 37:12 separate (2) 20:15 41:23 september (7) 7:8,17 8:7 9:10 12:9 17:6,7 serious (6) 40:9 44:5,17,22 53.11 17 serve (9) 16:22 18:11 20:14,21,23,24 21:2,3 32:23 served (3) 16:6 23:6 33:20 service (6) 16:9 20:2,17 21:3,8 56:23 set (2) 15:13 42:10 sets (1) 47:17 seven (2) 5:23 47:20 several (4) 16:19 40:8 42:16 59:18 shall (1) 43:15 shes (2) 22:22 29:9 shocked (1) 36:4 shoes (1) 51:14 short (4) 2:22 11:24 42:23 52:1 shortly (1) 53:15 should (9) 16:4 20:10 22:6 24:12 25:4,5,21 48:21 57:23 shouldnt (5) 25:22 47:13 57:25 58:3,24 show (3) 10:16 26:7 35:23 shows (2) 9:1 36:13 shutting (1) 46:7 shy (1) 48:11 side (3) 22:20 26:11 34:3 sign (1) 57:1 significant (4) 6:13 7:7 16:20 19.15 simple (2) 41:14 59:15 since (2) 35:17 37:22 single (1) 17:23 singled (1) 35:20 sink (2) 16:10 22:12 site (12) 2:12,22 3:8 4:3 7:24 8:2,4 9:11,14 35:3 38:4 40:13 situation (1) 37:21 situations (1) 39:11 slightly (1) 52:13 smashed (2) 8:8 9:13 sneaked (2) 36:2,2 snigger (1) 36:21 soca (1) 54:15 social (1) 36:14 solicitors (1) 59:16 solution (1) 32:8

sometimes (2) 39:10 43:9 somewhere (1) 43:7 soon (2) 35:12 51:2 sort (3) 24:2,22 51:24 sorts (2) 25:22 36:24 sounds (1) 51:6 speak (1) 55:8 speaking (2) 36:12 43:11 speaks (1) 4:23 special (1) 55:10 specify (1) 42:1 speculation (1) 33:19 spent (3) 37:19 58:13 60:5 spoke (1) 36:3 spoken (2) 5:1 39:2 staff (14) 3:3,11,14 4:12,14 5:5 8:11,18,20,24 26:6.8.17 52:17 stage (7) 12:18 16:1 23:22 31:10 49:6 50:23 60:8 stalking (1) 46:17 stand (3) 24:13 33:24 43:8 standing (2) 19:4 33:6 stands (2) 19:3 31:8 start (7) 9:18 10:1 15:22 20:9 30:9 41:15 48:18 started (2) 5:15 13:16 statement (8) 1:11,12 2:20 56:14,15,18,20 57:1 statements (1) 15:12 steering (1) 36:9 step (1) 40:11 stepped (2) 35:19 36:19 stepping (1) 6:23 steps (1) 24:5 still (4) 3:19 24:8,9 38:2 stone (2) 25:25 27:18 stop (5) 9:24 16:13 44:25 54:12 60:24 strengthened (1) 1:17 strip (1) 40:24 stripping (1) 41:15 strips (1) 51:7 strong (1) 38:22 stuff (1) 58:8 subject (10) 19:9,25 22:20 27:2 31:3 39:3 41:10 42:4.5 47:13 submit (1) 60:13 subsection (6) 45:24 53:5,15,16,20 54:22 subsequently (4) 20:14,20 32:25 52:11 suggesting (2) 24:1 31:1 sum (1) 60:17 summer (2) 14:23 37:22 superseded (1) 41:2 suppose (1) 47:3 supreme (1) 32:22 sure (4) 3:22 4:20 40:15 44:1 surprise (1) 48:14 surrounded (1) 1:7 surrounding (1) 3:10 susan (2) 1:11 2:20 suspended (1) 37:12 taken (12) 11:15 12:1 18:21 22:14 26:16 32:10,10,18,21 35:3 36:23 43:23 takes (2) 16:20 42:16 talk (2) 11:21 51:24 talked (1) 34:14 talking (2) 14:20,20 target (2) 8:11 57:22 targeted (3) 8:18.20 52:13 targeting (4) 4:13 8:24 9:10 39:17 tea (2) 43:12,13 telephone (1) 46:25

telling (1) 50:16	U	weekend (1) 57:14	1501 (1) 2:7	
term (2) 35:11 43:8		weeks (3) 5:15 13:16 30:11	15th (1) 1:10	
terms (6) 11:24 32:4 36:1	ultimate (1) 47:8	weight (1) 58:6	18 (2) 1:1 2:3	
41:14 42:10 58:3	ultimately (5) 28:12 40:21	went (2) 32:19 36:15	<b>19 (5)</b> 2:3,19 9:15,18,21	
test (1) 46:10	47:25 49:16 50:11	werent (4) 13:9 15:1,14 17:9	1a (4) 53:15,16,20 54:22	
thank (10) 2:1,24 7:1,6 55:2	uncertain (1) 32:1	weve (1) 12:20		
56:10 57:6 60:21,22,25	understand (15) 1:20	whatever (3) 25:25 28:20	2	
thats (65) 1:9,25 2:5,9 3:3,4	34:18,19,20,22 35:19	46:16		
4:5 8:8 9:19 10:14,21 12:4	36:17 39:14 41:5	whats (3) 5:4 24:18 39:16	<b>2 (6)</b> 1:6 3:11 23:16 24:15	
13:1 14:3,19 16:2,2,3,10	50:7,9,10,14 60:10,24	wheel (1) 36:9	52:18 57:10	
18:9,22 22:4 23:17 24:18	understandably (1) 14:17	wheelchair (9) 6:7 13:15	20 (1) 5:20	
26:16 27:13 29:23 30:7	understanding (2) 40:10	17:3 19:1,23 22:19 24:25	2005 (4) 53:10,10,15,18	
31:5,12,17,23 32:19	42:11	36:11,13	2021 (1) 35:16	
33:2,19 34:9 37:6,9 38:7	understands (1) 38:4 understood (1) 43:17	whereas (1) 51:25	2022 (3) 7:8,17 9:10	
39:21 40:1,17 42:19	unending (2) 21:14 24:15	whole (5) 15:22 23:13	<b>2023 (1)</b> 1:1	
43:16,21 46:1 47:10,14,17	unfortunately (1) 5:16	49:11,12 58:11	<b>219 (1)</b> 10:1 <b>22 (3)</b> 5:23 6:2 61:2	
48:3 49:16 50:25 51:9,16	ungammelled (1) 29:10	whom (2) 4:7 41:1	23 (1) 6:5	
54:16 55:4,6,12,12 56:1,7 57:3,24 58:15,18	unknown (58) 2:7 5:11,13,24	whose (2) 4:2 20:5 wife (1) 52:9	230 (2) 9:25 10:3	
themself (1) 6:3	6:3,6 8:9,15 10:15 14:2	willingness (1) 9:5	27 (1) 7:8	
themselves (3) 5:12 23:7	16:18 19:19 20:25	win (1) 40:15	28 (2) 7:17 8:7	
30:17	21:16,25 22:2,21 25:12	window (5) 4:15,18,20,21,24	290 (1) 2:20	
thereafter (1) 53:15	26:22,25 27:3,10 29:2,12	windows (1) 4:22	.,	
theyre (1) 55:9	30:12,14 31:1,3,14 33:2,3	windscreen (4) 8:8 9:12	3	
theyve (3) 30:3 60:5,16	34:18 38:9,14,18,21 41:1,7	10:14 11:10		
thing (9) 27:13 42:2 43:16	42:3,6 44:4,16 46:6	wish (1) 54:14	3 (1) 52:19	
49:20 56:17 58:9,11,16	47:9,16,18 48:5,9,23	witness (8) 1:10 15:12 17:16	30 (6) 6:10 9:10,17 12:9	
59:2	49:9,21 50:9,15,23	24:9 56:14,14,17 57:1	17:6,7	
thinks (1) 25:20	55:18,22 56:23 59:20	woman (7) 11:9,12 33:21	31 (3) 13:19 18:10,12	
third (4) 3:5 11:22 12:5	unknowns (1) 4:10	36:11,12,14 43:10	<b>3117 (6)</b> 13:20 16:25 17:24	
54:11	unless (5) 8:2 29:11 31:22	wont (1) 59:2	19:9,25 33:14	
though (2) 31:15 37:19	41:11 42:2	work (6) 7:12 18:15,15 20:21	34 (1) 6:9	
thought (1) 32:20	unmanageable (1) 32:6	34:23 50:9	37 (1) 6:5	
threatened (1) 39:18	unrelated (1) 57:20	worked (2) 12:19,20	4	
threatening (1) 37:14	until (5) 5:15 15:14 38:1 41:12 61:2	working (2) 9:6 58:13		
three (5) 28:24 35:16 37:22	41:12 01:2 unwanted (1) 46:25	workplace (1) 46:24	4 (1) 45:24	
40:25 50:4	unworkable (2) 32:8,11	works (1) 50:7	<b>43 (1)</b> 5:20	
threw (1) 43:12	upon (3) 55:5 57:17 58:20	world (3) 32:13 49:11,12	<b>49 (1)</b> 6:2	
throughout (1) 38:21 throw (3) 27:4,17 43:13	used (4) 12:23 35:11	worry (1) 44:25 worst (1) 44:21		
throw (3) 27:4,17 43:13 throwing (3) 9:20 19:23 26:1	54:15,17	worst (1) 44:21 wouldnt (5) 8:5 26:18 29:18	5	
thrown (3) 9:12 23:13,14	using (1) 18:18	31:2 46:12		
throws (2) 9:16 10:6	,	wrinkle (1) 46:1	50 (1) 46:14	
thursday (1) 1:1	V	writing (2) 58:8,15		
tied (1) 2:11	(m)	written (2) 7:14 58:6	6	
time (31) 5:22 13:3	vacuum (1) 37:21	wrong (5) 9:19 25:20	<b>695 (1)</b> 3:17	
15:3,8,11,13 16:21 17:8	valuable (1) 60:2	32:20,20 51:22	555 (1) 5.17	
18:3,21,21 26:16 27:1	valve (1) 47:3	wrongs (2) 32:8,16	7	
31:19 35:15 37:8,21,23	van (1) 3:3 varied (1) 25:6	wyton (2) 9:11,14	·	
39:6,9 41:12 42:22 43:4	varied (1) 25:0 various (1) 40:25		7 (2) 15:6 23:18	
44:2 50:25 52:8 53:7,12	various (1) 40:25 vary (1) 27:10	X		
58:10,13,14	vehicle (10) 1:7 3:1,19,20	(1) 50.01	8	
times (3) 13:5 14:4 16:20	4:2,3,5,22 19:4 36:20	x (1) 58:21		
timetable (3) 23:13 24:8,11	verbal (1) 58:5	v	8 (4) 15:6 23:21 24:1,6	
today (5) 37:21 43:4 51:9,16	verge (1) 9:17	Y	836 (1) 9:22	
57:2	version (1) 34:5	y (1) 58:21	888 (1) 8:9	
together (2) 52:22,23 told (1) 30:11	vicious (1) 37:11	yards (1) 46:14	890 (1) 8:9	
.,	victoria (4) 35:17 36:18	year (7) 12:9 14:23	891 (1) 7:11	
too (1) 43:2 took (3) 17:8 32:22 39:6	39:3,3	15:1,14,15 17:12 38:1	<b>896 (1)</b> 7:11	
took (3) 17:8 32:22 39:0 towards (1) 19:24	video (30) 2:21,22,23,23	yellow (1) 6:20	9	
track (3) 36:10,12 37:1	3:17,17,21 4:1 5:19,20,25	yesterday (5) 1:6 6:23 35:25	<u> </u>	
traffic (1) 3:6	6:4,7,11,16,17,19,25 7:3,4	36:1,4	925 (1) 5:19	
transcript (2) 9:22 58:12	9:9,19,22,23 10:18 20:5	yet (4) 38:11 39:7 41:13 60:8	996 (2) 2:21,23	
transport (1) 30:12	44:8,9,10 47:19	young (4) 36:20,21 37:2,6		
travel (1) 43:6	videos (3) 15:12 18:7 47:21	yourself (1) 49:10		
trespass (8) 1:17 3:15 4:13	violently (1) 37:13	youve (11) 1:25 12:12,13,14		
5:10,19 6:13 25:21 27:19	visavis (1) 21:8	14:5 20:7 24:22 38:11		
trial (17) 5:15 15:3,9,17,18	visible (1) 19:5	44:19 51:12 57:13		
16:23 24:4,5,8,11,23 27:20	voicos (1) 4:6			
28:2,4 30:6,9 31:2	voices (1) 4:6	7		
. ,		Z		·
tried (2) 35:25 36:15	voices (1) 4:6			
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14		z (1) 58:22		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9	W			
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3	wait (1) 19:11			
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4	wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6	z (1) 58:22 1 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 3:4,14 10:8 22:16	W wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6 wasnt (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11 37:4,5 waste (1) 50:25	z (1) 58:22 1 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 3:4,14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20	W wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6 wasnt (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11 37:4,5 waste (1) 50:25 watch (1) 44:10	z (1) 58:22 1 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 3:4,14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20 tuesday (1) 52:18	W wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6 wasnt (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11 37:4,5 waste (1) 50:25 watch (1) 44:10 watched (1) 47:21	z (1) 58:22 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16 1030 (3) 1:2 57:7 61:2		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 34:14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20 tuesday (1) 52:18 turned (1) 20:25	W wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6 wasnt (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11 37:4,5 waste (1) 50:25 watch (1) 44:10 watched (1) 47:21 wave (1) 24:21	z (1) 58:22 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16 1030 (3) 1:2 57:7 61:2 12 (1) 11:2		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 3:4,14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20 tuesday (1) 52:18 turned (1) 20:25 turning (1) 34:25	W wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6 wasnt (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11 37:4,5 waste (1) 50:25 watch (1) 44:10 watched (1) 47:21 wave (1) 24:21 way (9) 20:18,24 24:14 40:23	z (1) 58:22 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16 1030 (3) 1:2 57:7 61:2 12 (1) 11:2 1215 (1) 61:1		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 3:4,14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20 tuesday (1) 52:18 turned (1) 20:25 turing (1) 34:25 twice (1) 20:7	W wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6 wasnt (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11 37:4,5 waste (1) 50:25 watch (1) 44:10 watched (1) 47:21 wave (1) 24:21 way (9) 20:18,24 24:14 40:23 50:2 51:6 52:13 56:2,3	z (1) 58:22 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16 1030 (3) 1:2 57:7 61:2 12 (1) 11:2 1215 (1) 61:1 1285 (4) 10:14,15,24,25		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 3:4,14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20 tuesday (1) 52:18 turned (1) 20:25 twice (1) 20:7 twice (1) 20:7	W           wait (1) 19:11           wants (2) 28:15 60:6           wastn (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11           37:4,5           waste (1) 50:25           watch (1) 44:10           watched (1) 44:21           waye (1) 24:21           way (9) 20:18,24 24:14 40:23           50:2 51:6 52:13 56:2,3           ways (3) 19:20 28:24 52:24	z (1) 58:22 1 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16 1030 (3) 1:2 57:7 61:2 12 (1) 11:2 1215 (1) 61:1 1285 (4) 10:14,15,24,25 1287 (2) 10:16,23		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 34:14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20 tuesday (1) 52:18 turned (1) 20:25 turning (1) 34:25 twice (1) 20:7 twoparty (2) 32:5 45:21 type (2) 54:9 55:4	W wait (1) 19:11 wants (2) 28:15 60:6 wasnt (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11 37:4,5 waste (1) 50:25 watch (1) 44:10 watched (1) 47:21 wave (1) 24:21 wave (1) 24:21 way (9) 20:18,24 24:14 40:23 50:2 51:6 52:13 56:2,3 ways (3) 19:20 28:24 52:24 wayside (1) 42:18	z (1) 58:22 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16 1030 (3) 1:2 57:7 61:2 12 (1) 11:2 1215 (1) 61:1 1285 (4) 10:14,15,24,25 1287 (2) 10:16,23 1288 (2) 10:16 11:3		
tried (2) 35:25 36:15 tries (1) 40:14 true (1) 37:9 truth (1) 36:3 try (4) 34:15,18 36:8 45:4 trying (8) 3:4,14 10:8 22:16 36:14 40:24 52:15 53:20 tuesday (1) 52:18 turned (1) 20:25 twice (1) 20:7 twice (1) 20:7	W           wait (1) 19:11           wants (2) 28:15 60:6           wastn (5) 5:15 20:24 29:11           37:4,5           waste (1) 50:25           watch (1) 44:10           watched (1) 44:21           waye (1) 24:21           way (9) 20:18,24 24:14 40:23           50:2 51:6 52:13 56:2,3           ways (3) 19:20 28:24 52:24	z (1) 58:22 1 1 (6) 27:4 52:18 53:16,19 54:21 62:2 1017 (1) 6:16 1030 (3) 1:2 57:7 61:2 12 (1) 11:2 1215 (1) 61:1 1285 (4) 10:14,15,24,25 1287 (2) 10:16,23		